# **IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ- 9/W/THC/DELHI**

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi , matter is taken through video conferencing Bail Application No. 1290 FIR NO. 142/21 PS: Moti Nagar U/s 420/411/34 IPC State vs. Bablu Kumar

## <u>20.5.2021</u>

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Sh.Prakash Jha, learned counsel for applicant/accused Bablu Kumar

Submissions on bail application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. is heard at length and reply perused.

It is submitted by learned counsel for applicant/ accused that IO was directed to file address verification report of applicant/accused in respect of his native place. It is further argued by learned counsel for applicant/accused that accused is not involved in any other case and that he is in J/c since 16.4.2021. There is no eye witness , no CCTV footage or any other incriminating evidence against the accused.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

According, to the allegations in the FIR , complainant Ramesh Kumar was cheated for Rs. 1000/- and his belongings and that the phone from which call was made to the complainant was recovered from the possession of accused/applicant.

Considering the nature of offence coupled with the fact that accused is in J/c since 16.4.2021 and that address verification report filed by the IO, accused/applicant is admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond for the

sum of Rs.10,000/- with two local sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of Learned MM/ Duty MM/ Learned Link MM. In addition to the above accused/applicant shall mark attendance every fortnightly in the local police station and shall provide his mobile number to the IO concerned. **Application** is disposed off accordingly.

HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA 17:00:50 +0530

(HEMANI MALHOTRA)

ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-09 (VACATION LINK JUDGE) WEST/THC/DELHI/20.05.2021

# **IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ- 9/W/THC/DELHI**

<u>As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi</u>, matter is taken through <u>video conferencing</u>

 Bail Application No. 1855

 FIR NO. 69/21

 PS: Nangloi

 U/s 392/394/397/411/34 IPC

 State vs. Shivam

#### <u>20.5.2021</u>

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Sh.D.K.Sareen, learned counsel for accused/applicant Shivam

Argument on bail application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. heard at length from learned counsel for accused and reply perused.

No assistance was given by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

Relief is sought by learned counsel for applicant/accused on the ground that there is no previous involvement of applicant/accused and that he is in J/c for last 105 days. It is also submitted that investigation in this case is complete and no recovery has been effected from the accused.

The present case FIR was registered on the complaint of one Kundan who was robbed by three boys who were traveling with him in the erikshaw and the driver of e-rikshaw at about 11.00 pm on 7.2.2021. The driver of e-rikshaw had stopped his e-rikshaw at deserted place which was not lit. Whereafter the boys, traveling in e-rikshaw robbed complainant of his bag at knife point and threw him out of the rikshaw. After sometime he saw accused and other co-accused who were juvenile coming in the same e-rikshaw. All the three accused persons were apprehended by public persons and robbed bag was recovered from him .During investigation button actuated knife i.e. weapon of offence and e-rikshaw involved in the offence were recovered from his possession.

Considering graveness of the offence coupled with the fact that accused was caught red handed and recovery has been effected from the possession of accused/applicant, no ground for granting relief is made out. Application stands dismissed.

HEMANI

Digitally signed by HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:00:58 +0530

# IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ-9/W/THC/DELHI

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, matter is taken through video conferencing

**Bail Application No. 1375** FIR NO. 87/21 **PS: Patel Nagar** U/s 302 IPC State vs. Vikas Tiwari

## 20.5.2021

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Sh.Ankur Tripathi, learned counsel for accused/applicant Vikas Tiwari

Argument on bail application U/s 439 Cr.P.C. heard at length from learned counsel for accused and reply perused.

No assistance was given by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

The accused/applicant has been chargesheeted for the offence under section 302 IPC r/w 34 IPC. In the present case accused/applicant alongwith his friends / co-accused committed the murder of Krishna @ Hojo .

Considering the fact that trial is at intial stage, investigation is under way, no ground for granting relief is made out. Application stands dismissed.

> HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20

Digitally signed by HEMANI MALHOTRA 17:01:05 +0530

# **IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ- 9/W/THC/DELHI**

<u>As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi</u>, matter is taken through video conferencing

Bail Application No. 1287 FIR NO. 314/20 PS: Anand Parbat U/s 323/354/356/506/509 IPC State vs. Kamal Kishore @ Baba

#### <u>20.5.2021</u>

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Sh.Nagendra Singh, learned counsel for applicant/accused IO ASI Swatantar Kumar is also present

Submissions on application U/s 438 Cr.P.C. heard at length.

It is submitted by learned counsel for applicant/accused that matter has been settled amicably and quashing petition is listed before Hon'ble High Court for 11.8.2021.

No assistance was given by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

Learned counsel for applicant/accused has also filed order of Hon'ble High Court. IO has also filed copy of order. As per the copy of order, matter is listed before Hon'ble High Court for 28.5.2021. Learned counsel for accused/applicant was directed to file the order of Hon'ble High Court regarding quashing of petition. Subsequent to the direction of this court, learned counsel has filed order of Hon'ble High Court through e-mail. IO also has filed the copy of the order of the Hon'ble High Court . As per the copy of the order filed by learned counsel for applicant/accused and IO respectively, matter is listed before Hon'ble High court for 28.5.2021 and not for 11.5.2021 as stated by learned counsel for accused.

Considering the submissions coupled with the fact that matter has been settled amicably and WP (Crl.) 182/2021 titled as Kamal Kishore @Baba State is listed for 28.5.2021, interim protection is granted to Vs. applicant/accused Kamal Kishore till 28.05.2021. Accused be not arrested till 28.5.2021. Application is disposed off accordingly.

HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:01:12 +0530

# **IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ- 9/W/THC/DELHI**

<u>As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi</u>, matter is taken through video conferencing

Bail Application No. 2499 FIR NO. 1180/20 PS: Nangloi U/s 354/506/509/34 IPC State vs. Ravi Sahni

# 20.5.2021

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State None for applicant / accused

Reply is filed by the IO.

Despite directions, none has joined VC on behalf of accused to argue the application. Accordingly, application be listed for arguments on 21.5.2021.

HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:01:19 +0530

Sl.No. 14 **IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ-9/W/THC/DELHI** As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, matter is taken through video conferencing

**Bail Application No.** FIR NO. 326/16 **PS: Vikas Puri** <u>U/s 302/307/452/147/148/149/120B/34IPC</u> State vs. Aamir

# 20.5.2021

Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Present: Sh.Pankaj Srivastva, learned counsel for accused/applicant Aamir IO Inspector R.K.Maan

Submissions on bail application heard and reply perused.

During the course of arguments, it is submitted by learned counsel that accused/applicant has already been released on bail by Learned Vacation Judge two days ago. At request, application stands dismissed as withdrawn.

HEMANI

Digitally signed by HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:01:29 +0530

Sl.No. 15 IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ- 9/W/THC/DELHI As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, matter is taken through video conferencing

Bail Application No. FIR NO. 326/16 PS: Vikas Puri U/s 302/307/452/147/148/149/120B/34IPC STATE vs. Nasir

## <u>20.5.2021</u>

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Sh.Pankaj Srivastva, learned counsel for accused/applicant Nasir Inspector R.K.Maan/PS Vikas Puri has also attended VC

Submissions on the application seeking interim bail of accused/applicant Nasir heard through VC and file is perused with utmost care.

No assistance was given by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

Interim relief for 90 days in terms of recommendations of High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court dated 4.5.2021 and 11.5.2021 is sought by learned counsel for applicant/accused.

It is submitted by learned counsel that applicant/accused Nasir is in J/c since 24.03.2016 and that he is not involved in any other case.

In view of the submissions coupled with recommendations dated 4.5.2021 AND 11.5.2021 of High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court accused/applicant Nasir @ Pali is admitted on interim bail for Ninety Days from the date of his release subject to his furnishing bail bond for the sum of Rs.25,000/- with two sureties of like amount. Application is disposed off accordingly. It is made clear that at the time of his release, accused/applicant will provide his mobile phone number to the Jail Authorities, SHO of the Police Station (within whose jurisdiction he has last resided) as well as to the concerned SHO of the Police Station (where the FIR against him was registered). He will be released on the conditions that he will stay in touch with the jail authorities telephonically and will not leave the State without informing the Jail Superintendent and will not change his mobile number without informing the new number, if any, to the Jail Authorities and / or SHO of the concerned Police Station.

**HEMANI** 

Digitally signed by HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:01:38 +0530

Sl.No. 16 **IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ- 9/W/THC/DELHI** <u>As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi</u>, matter is taken through <u>video conferencing</u>

Bail Application No. FIR NO. 326/16 PS: Vikas Puri U/s 302/307/452/147/148/149/120B/34IPC STATE vs. Salman

# <u>20.5.2021</u>

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State None for applicant/accused Inspector R.K.Maan/PS Vikas Puri has also attended VC

Despite directions, none joined VC to argue on behalf of accused/applicant Salman. Accordingly, application stands adjourned for arguments on 21.5.2021.

HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:01:51 +0530

Sl.No. 35 IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ- 9/W/THC/DELHI As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, matter is taken through video conferencing

Bail Application No. FIR NO. 326/16 PS: Vikas Puri U/s 302/307/452/147/148/149/120B/34IPC STATE vs. Harish

#### <u>20.5.2021</u>

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Ms.Yamini Sharma, learned counsel for applicant/accused Inspector R.K.Maan/PS Vikas Puri has also attended VC

Submissions on the application seeking interim bail of accused/applicant Harish heard through VC and file is perused with utmost care.

No assistance was given by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

Interim relief for 90 days in terms of recommendation of High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court dated 4.5.2021 and 11.5.2021 is sought by learned counsel for applicant/accused. It is further submitted by learned counsel for applicant/accused that earlier also accused /applicant Harish was released on interim bail in pursuance to recommendations of Hon'ble High Court Power Committee and that now Jail Supdt. has refused to release the accused /applicant on the ground that accused/applicant is also chargesheeted under Section 149 IPC and hence, he is covered under the exclusion clause of the guidelines dated 4.5.2021 for the High Power Committee.

Guidelines of the High Power Committee dated 4.5.2021 are perused with utmost care. According to the guidelines, UTPs involved in the cases investigated by CBI/ED/NIA/Special Cell of Delhi Police/Crime Branch, SFIO/ Para Military Cases, riot cases, cases under Anti National Activities and Unlawful activities (Prevention) Act etc. are not to be considered for interim bail. The category of riot cases mentioned in this clause has to be read harmoniously alongwith other category mentioned in the said clause. To my mind, UTPs who are involved in riot cases of the category mentioned in this clause are not entitled to interim bail . The present accused/applicant has been chargesheeted under section 149 IPC which in my consideration does not fall in the said category.

As per the recommendation of HPC, those accused are not entitled for relief who are involved in anti national activities or member of unlawful assembly.

In the case in hand, section 149 IPC has not been added against the accused/applicant Harish because he was involved in any anti national activities.

It is submitted by learned counsel that applicant/accused Harish is in J/c since 24.03.2016 and that he is not involved in any other case.

In view of the submissions coupled with recommendations dated 4.5.2021 and 11.5.2021 of High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court accused/applicant Harish is admitted on interim bail for Ninety Days from the date of his release subject to his furnishing bail bond for the sum of Rs.25,000/- with two sureties of like amount. Application is disposed off accordingly.

It is made clear that at the time of his release, accused/applicant will provide his mobile phone number to the Jail Authorities, SHO of the Police Station (within whose jurisdiction he has last resided) as well as to the concerned SHO of the Police Station (where the FIR against him was registered). He will be released on the conditions that he will stay in touch with the jail authorities telephonically and will not leave the State without informing the Jail Superintendent and will not change his mobile number without informing the new number, if any, to the Jail Authorities and / or SHO of the concerned Police Station.

HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:02:13 +0530

## Sl.no. 17 **IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ- 9/W/THC/DELHI** <u>As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi</u>, matter is taken through video conferencing

# Bail Application No. FIR NO. 119/19 PS: Mundka U/s 395/397/506/34/120B/412 IPC and 25/27/54/59 A.Act STATE vs. Manish @ Ajay

# <u>20.5.2021</u>

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Sh.Joginder Tuli, learned counsel for accused/applicant Manish

Submissions on the application seeking interim bail of accused/applicant Manish heard through VC and file is perused with utmost care.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for accused/applicant seeks permission to withdraw his application. Accordingly, at request, application stands dismissed as withdrawn.

HEMANI Digitally signed by HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:02:20 + 0530

# <u>Sl.No.18</u> <u>IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ- 9/W/THC/DELHI</u> <u>As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi</u>, matter is taken through <u>video conferencing</u>

Bail Application No. FIR NO. 297/17 PS: Khyala U/s 365/302/201/34 IPC STATE vs. Jasmeet Singh @ Ginni

## <u>20.5.2021</u>

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Sh.Vikas Chaddha, learned counsel for applicant/accused Jasmeet Singh @ Ginni

Submissions on the application seeking interim bail on the basis of

guidelines of HPC of accused/applicant Jasmeet Singh @ Ginni heard through VC and reply is perused with utmost care.

Perusal of report shows that reply has been furnished qua accused Inderjeet @ Bunty and not for accused Jasmeet Singh @ Ginni.

Accordingly, application stands adjourned for arguments on 21.5.2021 with direction to IO to file report qua accused Jasmeet Singh @ Ginni for date fixed.

HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:02:26 +0530

# <u>Sl.No.19</u> <u>IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ- 9/W/THC/DELHI</u> <u>As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi</u>, matter is taken through <u>video conferencing</u>

Bail Application No. FIR NO. 196/19 PS: Mundka U/s 307 IPC and 25/27 Arms Act State vs. Rajesh @ Raja

#### <u>20.5.2021</u>

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Sh. Pradeep Chaudhary, Learned Counsel for applicant/accused Rajesh @ Raja SI Dinesh has also attended VC

Submissions on the application seeking interim bail on the basis of guidelines of HPC dated 4.5.2021 and 11.5.2021 of accused/applicant Rajesh @ Raja heard through VC and reply is perused with utmost care.

Relief is sought by learned counsel for applicant/accused in pursuant to the recommendation of High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court dated 4.5.2021 and 11.5.2021 on the ground that accused/applicant is in J/c since 21.5.2019 and that there is no previous involvement of accused in criminal case.

In view of the submissions coupled with recommendations dated 4.5.2021 and 11.5.2021 of High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court accused/applicant Rajesh @ Raja is admitted on interim bail for Ninety Days from the date of his release subject to his furnishing bail bond for the sum of Rs.25,000/- with two sureties of like amount. Application is disposed off accordingly.

It is made clear that at the time of his release, accused/applicant

will provide his mobile phone number to the Jail Authorities, SHO of the Police Station (within whose jurisdiction he has last resided) as well as to the concerned SHO of the Police Station (where the FIR against him was registered). He will be released on the conditions that he will stay in touch with the jail authorities telephonically and will not leave the State without informing the Jail Superintendent and will not change his mobile number without informing the new number, if any, to the Jail Authorities and / or SHO of the concerned Police Station.

HEMANI MALHOTRA Digitally signed by HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:02:34 +0530

IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ- 9/W/THC/DELHI As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, matter is taken through video conferencing

Bail Application No. FIR NO. 385/20 PS: Mundka U/s 498A/306 IPC State vs. Sachin Gupta

#### <u>20.5.2021</u>

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Sh. Pankaj Kishore Gupta, Learned Legal Aid Counsel for applicant/accused Sachin Gupta SI Pradeep has also attended VC

Submissions on the application seeking interim bail of accused/applicant Sachin Gupta heard through VC and reply is perused with utmost care.

No assistance was given by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

Relief is sought by learned counsel for applicant/accused in pursuant to the recommendation of High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court dated 4.5.2021 and 11.5.2021 on the ground that accused/applicant is in J/c since 24.5.2020 and that there is no previous involvement of accused in criminal case.

In view of the submissions coupled with recommendations dated 4.5.2021 and 11.5.2021 of High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court accused/applicant Rajesh @ Raja is admitted on interim bail for Ninety Days from the date of his release subject to his furnishing bail bond for the sum of Rs 30,000/- with one surety of like amount. Application is disposed off accordingly.

It is made clear that at the time of his release, accused/applicant will provide his mobile phone number to the Jail Authorities, SHO of the Police Station (within whose jurisdiction he has last resided) as well as to the concerned SHO of the Police Station (where the FIR against him was registered). He will be released on the conditions that he will stay in touch with the jail authorities telephonically and will not leave the State without informing the Jail Superintendent and will not change his mobile number without informing the new number, if any, to the Jail Authorities and / or SHO of the concerned Police Station.

HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:02:41 +0530

<u>Sl.No.21</u>

**IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ-9/W/THC/DELHI** As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, matter is taken through video conferencing

**Bail Application No. FIR NO. 28/18 PS: Nangloi** <u>U/s 392/397/302/34 IPC</u> State vs. Arun Kumar @ Bhola

# 20.5.2021

Sh.Sukhbir Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Present: None for applicant/accused Arun Kumar @ Bhola

Despite to call none joined VC on behalf of accused/applicant Arun Kumar @ Bhola to argue the present applicationr. Let application be listed for arguments on 21.5.2021.

HEMANI

Digitally signed by HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:02:48 +0530

# Sl.No.22 IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ-9/W/THC/DELHI As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, matter is taken through video conferencing

**Bail Application No.** FIR NO. 689/19 **PS: Nangloi** U/s 302/34 IPC and 25/27 Arm Act State vs. Sajid

# 2<u>0.5.2021</u>

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Learned Counsel Sh.Shavej Khan, for applicant/accused Sajid Khan

Submissions on interim bail application of accused / applicant Sajid heard and reply perused.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

It is argued by learned counsel for applicant/accused that accused Sajid is in J/c since 28.10.2019 i.e. for 19 months.

Accused /applicant has been chargesheeted under section 302 IPC and 25 /54/59 Arms Act. Admittedly, accused does not fall within the criteria of recommendation of High Power Committee of Hon'ble High Court dated 4.5.2021 and 11.5.2021 as he is in J/c for last 19 months only.

Accordingly, no ground for interim bail is made out. Application Digitally sign by HEMANI MALHOTRA thus stands dismissed. HEMANI

MALHOTRA 17:03:03 +0530

IN THE COURT OF MS.HEMANI MALHOTRA/ASJ-9/W/THC/DELHI As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, matter is taken through video conferencing

Bail Application No. FIR NO. 45/19 PS: Moti Nagar U/s 392/394/365/364A/411/506/34/120B IPC STATE vs. Shiv Kumar @ Sibba

#### <u>20.5.2021</u>

Present: Sh.Sukhbeer Singh Learned Addl. PP for the State Sh.Pawan Sharma, learned counsel for applicant/accused

Submissions on bail application seeking interim bail on the basis of recommendations of High Power Committee dated 4.5.2021 and 11.5.2021 heard and reply perused.

As per the reply filed by the IO, accused/applicant is also involved in case FIR No.116/19 U/s 186/353//307/34 IPC and 25/54/59 Act PS Daruhera, Distt. Rewari.

It is submitted by learned counsel for applicant/accused that he has not been supplied with copy of reply. Let copy of reply be sent to learned counsel through mail/whatsapp. Matter be now listed for arguments on application on 21.05.2021.

HEMANI by MALHOTRA DA

Digitally signed by HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:03:19 +0530

Application No.2119 FIR No.152/19 PS Anand Parbat U/ss 307/324 IPC State Vs. Ravi Meena

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Sh. Hazari Lal, Learned counsel for applicant/ accused. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

Heard on the bail application filed u/s 439 Cr.P.C.

It is submitted by learned counsel for accused/applicant that this application be considered as interim bail application for 90 days on the basis of guidelines laid down by High Powered Committee dated 04.05.2021 and 11.05.2021.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

Reply to the application filed by the IO is perused.

As per reply, accused/applicant was convicted in case FIR No.45/09 u/s 302 IPC, PS Anand Parbat whereby he was sentenced for 3650 days and a fine of Rs.10,000/-. He is also involved in case FIR

No.45/20 u/s 326 IPC apart from the present case. Accused/applicant is in JC since 29.01.2021. Since accused does not meet the criteria laid down by HPC, **present application is, accordingly, dismissed.** 

HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 (HEMANI MAITHOPPRA)<sup>30</sup> ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION JUDGE) 20.05.2021 \$

Application No.2105 FIR No.211/21 PS Tilak Nagar U/ss 420/419/467/468/471/482 IPC State Vs. Prabhjot Singh @ Gagan

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Sh.Ashish Ojha, Learned counsel for applicant/ accused Prabhjot Singh. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

Heard on the bail application filed u/s 439 Cr.P.C. Reply filed by the IO perused.

As per allegations, present case was registered on 27.03.2021 on the complaint of Malkit Singh wherein it was alleged that on 19.03.2021, accused/applicant namely Prabhjot Singh s/o Bhupinder Singh came to his showroom of S.K. TVS to purchase a new motorcycle model RTR Apache 2002 and introduced himself as Police Inspector for buildup trust. It was also alleged that a copy of Aadhar Card also given by the accused/applicant. was Accused/applicant took test drive of the motorcycle and did not return of SK TVS. FIR motorcycle in the showroom u/ss 420/419/467/468/471/482 IPC was registered.

Learned counsel for accused/applicant submits that there

is delay of 7 days in lodging the FIR and there is no previous involvement of accused/applicant. He is in JC since 03.04.2021 and since recovery has already been affected, accused/applicant be enlarged on bail.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

The reply of the IO perused carefully. During investigation, accused/applicant was arrested from his house at Tilak Nagar and said motorcycle was got recovered from his possession with number plate bearing registration No.DL-11SH-4007, which was of a scooty. Also, two Aadhar Card with different numbers were recovered from his possession and a fake Delhi Police Identity Card with designation of Inspector was also recovered. Considering the fact that accused/applicant impersonated as police official and cheated the complainant and committed forgery, I do not see any ground to grant the bail at this stage.

#### Bail application is, accordingly, dismissed.

HEMANI MALHOTRA HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:07:38 +0530 (HEMANI MALHOTRA) ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION JUDGE) 20.05.2021 \$

Application No.2110 and 2111 FIR No. not known PS Tilak Nagar U/ss not known State Vs. 1) Sukhvinder Singh 2) Rajwinder Kaur 20.05.2021 As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Sh.Saurabh Likha, Learned counsel for applicants/ accused Sukhvinder Singh and Rajwinder Kaur. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

These are two anticipatory bail applications filed on behalf of accused/applicants.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

As per reply of the IO, no FIR is registered against the accused/applicants.

At this stage, learned counsel for accused/applicants seeks permission to withdraw both the applications. In view of the same, **both applications are dismissed as withdrawn.** 

> HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 (HEMANI MA<sup>I</sup>LHOTRA) ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION JUDGE) 20.05.2021s

Application No.2112 FIR No.486/19 PS Rajouri Garden U/ss 394/34 IPC State Vs. Sahil

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Ms.Kanchan Sharma, Advocate from DLS for applicant/ accused Sahil. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

Heard on the application filed on behalf of accused/applicant for releasing him on personal bond. Reply filed by the IO perused.

It is submitted by learned counsel for accused/applicant that accused is in JC since 12.10.2019 and has already been granted bail on 26.02.2021 but due to non-furnishing of surety of Rs.25,000/-, he could not be released from jail. Prayer for releasing him on personal bond is made.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

As per reply, accused/applicant Sahil is also previously

involved in more than 13 cases and he has been declared as Bad Character (BC) of the area. In view of thereof, I am not inclined to release the accused/ applicant on personal bond. Hence, **application is dismissed**.

HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:07:52 +0530 (HEMANI MALHOTRA) ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION JUDGE) 20.05.2021 \$

Application No.2113 FIR No.1036/06 PS Rajouri Garden U/ss 326/34 IPC State Vs. Sonu

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Ms.Kanchan Sharma, Advocate from DLS for applicant/ accused Sonu. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

Heard on the application filed on behalf of accused/applicant for releasing him on personal bond. Reply filed by the IO perused.

It is submitted by learned counsel for accused/applicant that accused/applicant has already been granted bail on 17.03.2021 but due to non-furnishing of surety, he could not be released from jail. Prayer for releasing him on personal bond is made.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

As per reply, there is no previous involvement qua accused/applicant, but, previously, he was declared Proclaimed

Offender twice in 2013 and in 2016. If he is released on personal bond, there is every apprehension that he may flee from justice. Under these circumstances, I am not inclined to release him on personal bond. **Accordingly, application is dismissed.** 

Digitally signed by HEMANI MALHOTRA MAEMANIRMALHOTRA ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION : DODGE)530 20.05.2021 \$

Application No.2118 FIR No.209/21 PS Moti Nagar U/ss 420/188/34 IPC State Vs. Manjeet Singh @ Manjeet Kumar

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Sh.Manjeet Saini, proxy counsel for applicant/ accused. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

At request of Sh.Manjeet Saini, Associate of Sh.Vikas, Learned counsel for accused/applicant, application be listed for tomorrow i.e. on 21.05.2021.

5.2021. HEMANI MALHOTRA HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 (HEMANI MALHOTRA) ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION JUDGE) 20.05.2021

\$

Application No.2120 FIR No.220/21 PS Khyala U/ss 33/38/58 Delhi Excise Act State Vs. Gursharan Yadav

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Sh. Mir Akhtar Hussain, counsel for applicant/ accused. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

This is the 2<sup>nd</sup> bail application filed on behalf of accused/ applicant for grant of anticipatory bail.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

The accused/applicant is alleged to have committed offences u/ss 33/38/58 of Delhi Excise Act. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for accused/applicant referred to Annexure-A4 of the bail application. On perusal of the bail application, it transpired that bail application comprises of only 8 pages whereas 32 pages of the application were filed by the learned counsel for accused/applicant. Since complete bail application is not on record, at request of learned counsel for accused/applicant, same be put up for tomorrow i.e. on 21.05.2021.

.e. on 21.05.2021. HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 (HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 (HEMANI MALTFOTRA) ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION JUDGE) 20.05.2021 \$

Application No. FIR No.47/21 PS Moti Nagar U/ss 323/341/324/34 IPC r/w Sec. 8/12 POCSO Act State Vs. 1) Sikander and 2) Rahul

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: None for accused/applicants. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

None appeared on behalf accused/applicants despite two

calls. Renotify on 23.05.2021 for consideration.

HEMANI MALHOTRA HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 (HEMANI MALHOTRA) ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION JUDGE) 20.05.2021

\$

Application No. FIR No.23/18 PS Khyala U/ss 302/323/34 IPC State Vs. Akbar Ali 20.05.2021 As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: None for accused/applicant. Ms.Rebecca John, Sr.Counsel/Learned Special PP for the State.

This is an application for grant of bail filed u/s 439 Cr.P.C. on behalf of accused/applicant.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

None appeared on behalf accused/applicant despite two calls. Since this matter pertains to the court of undersigned, same is adjourned en-bloc, as per directions of Hon'ble High Court, for 17.06.2021 for consideration.

deration. HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:08:25 + 0530 (HEMANI MALHOTRA) ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION JUDGE) 20.05.2021 \$

Application No. FIR No.276/17 PS Moti Nagar U/ss 392/394/397/452/307 IPC State Vs. Uma Shankar Tiwari

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Sh. Sahil Kakkar, learned counsel for applicant/ accused Uma Shankar Tiwari. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

This is an application filed u/s 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail. Reply filed by the IO perused. During the course of arguments, it is submitted that application be considered as interim bail application for 90 days as per the guidelines laid down by High Powered Committed of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

It is submitted by learned counsel for accused/applicant that accused is in JC for the last 45 months and he is not previously involved in any other case. It is further submitted that out of 16 witnesses, 13 have already been examined which also includes complainant. It is also averred that accused/ applicant was never granted any interim bail or regular bail.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

As per reply, previously two bail applications of accused/ applicant from Learned Sessions Court and one from Hon'ble High Court have already been rejected. Accused/applicant has also been charged u/ss 394 IPC where punishment is for life imprisonment. Keeping in view the criteria laid down by High Powered Committee of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, it is not a fit case to grant interim bail to accused/applicant. **Application is, accordingly, dismissed.** 

> HEMANI Digitally signed by HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:08:31 +0530 (HEMANI MALHOTRA) ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION JUDGE) 20.05.2021 \$

Application No. FIR No.227/21 PS Tilak Nagar U/ss 354 IPC and 8 POCSO Act State Vs. Mohit

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Mohd.Yusuf, counsel for applicant/accused Mohit. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

This is the second bail application of accused/applicant. It has been apprised that first bail application of accused/applicant is pending and has been enbloc fixed for 16.06.2021 by the court of Ms.Archana Sinha, learned ASJ.

In view of the same, **present application is dismissed as not maintainable.** HEMANI HEMANI

ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION OB DE DO CON 2021 05 20 05

Application No. FIR No.302/19 PS Nangloi U/ss 365/327/348/394/506/174A/34 IPC State Vs. Pradeep Saini @ Rahul Saini

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Sh. Anil Dagar, learned counsel for applicant/ accused. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

Heard on the application for grant of interim bail filed on behalf of accused/applicant. Reply filed by the IO perused.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

Learned counsel for accused/applicant has sought interim bail on medical ground of his mother as well as his own illness. So far as the ailment of the mother of accused/applicant is concerned, IO has sought some more time as no report from Safdarjung Hospital is received. Qua the illness of accused/applicant, no documents in this regard have been placed on record.

IO is directed to file the report from Safdarjung Hospital

qua the alleged ailment of his mother on or before 24.05.2021.

Renotify on 24.05.2021 for consideration.

HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA HEMANI Date: 2021.05.20 (HEMANI MAL:JECTRA) 30 ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION JUDGE) 20.05.2021 \$

Application No. FIR No.265/17 PS Mundka U/ss 302/396/412/120B/34 IPC State Vs. Mangi Lal

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Sh. Hari Krishan, learned counsel for applicant/ accused. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

It is submitted by learned counsel for accused/applicant that accused/applicant was released on interim bail last year in 2020 during Pandemic as per directions of High Powered Committee.

Since, as per directions contained in page 10 of the Minutes dated 11.05.2021 of Meeting of Hon'ble High Court, Jail Authorities have to consider the release of such prisoners, in view thereof, accused/applicant is directed to approach the jail authorities.

The application is, accordingly, disposed of.

#### HEMANI MALHOTRA MALHOTRA HEMANI MALHOTRA Date: 2021.05.20 17:09:01 (HEMANI WAISHOTRA) ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION JUDGE) 20.05.2021 \$


Application No.FIR No.265/17PS MundkaU/ss 302/396/412/120B/34 IPCState Vs. Sitam Das20.05.2021As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021,<br/>hearing of the application was conducted through Video<br/>Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the<br/>1st Link Vacation Judge.Present:Sh. Hari Krishan, learned counsel for applicant/<br/>accused.<br/>Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

It is submitted by learned counsel for accused/applicant that accused/applicant was released on interim bail last year in 2020 during Pandemic as per directions of High Powered Committee.

Since, as per directions contained in page 10 of the Minutes dated 11.5.2021 of Meeting of Hon'ble High Court, Jail Authorities have to consider the release of such prisoners, in view thereof, accused/applicant is directed to approach the jail authorities.

The application is, accordingly, disposed of.



**Application No.** 

FIR No.269/17; PS Khyala ; U/ss 302/321/120B/34 IPC State Vs. Afzal Khan @ Monu

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Sh. Mohd.Anas, learned counsel for applicant/ accused. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State. SI Niraj Singh/IO is also present.

No assistance was provided by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go through them.

It is submitted by learned counsel for accused/applicant that accused/applicant was released on interim bail last year in 2020 during Pandemic as per directions of High Powered Committee.

Since, as per directions contained in page 10 of the Minutes dated 11.5.2021 of Meeting of Hon'ble High Court, Jail Authorities have to consider the release of such prisoners, in view thereof, accused/applicant is directed to approach the jail authorities.

The application is, accordingly, disposed of.

HEMANI HEMANI (HEMANI MALHOTRA (HEMANI MALHOTRA 2021.05.20 ASJ-09/W/THC (LINK VACATION JUDGE):09:28 40530 20.05.2021\$

Digitally

# Application No. FIR No.356/19 PS Mundka U/ss 302/506/120B/34 IPC r/w Sec. 27/54/59 Arms Act State Vs. Devender @ Babloo

20.05.2021

As per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.04.2021, hearing of the application was conducted through Video Conferencing. The present application was put up before me being the 1<sup>st</sup> Link Vacation Judge.

Present: Sh. Parveen Dabas, learned counsel for applicant/ accused. Sh. Sukhbeer Singh, Learned Addl.PP for the State.

This is an application filed on behalf of accused/applicant for grant of interim bail for a period of one month.

It is submitted by learned counsel for accused/applicant that the present application has been filed on medical ground without taking recourse to the merits of the case. It is averred that accused/applicant is seriously ill and is suffering from various ailments due to the Pandemic, no proper treatment is being provided to the accused/applicant. Since accused/applicant has been diagnosed with internal hemorrhoid and his bleeding is not stopping, immediate surgery is required.

No assistance was given by learned Addl. PP for the state stating that he had received replies to the bail applications listed before this court today at 10.00 am and he was unable to go

# through them.

Reply of interim bail application filed on behalf of IO is perused.

The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in nature and he has been charge-sheeted u/ss 302/506/120B/34 IPC r/w 27/54/59 A.Act. No document has been filed by the learned counsel for accused/ applicant in support of the medical condition of the accused/ applicant. **In view of the same, application is dismissed.** 

Digitally signed by HEMANI HEMAN ASJ-09/W/THC (L 021 \$