Misc Application FIR No. 132/04 P.S. Sadar Bazar

26.11.2020

Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ 2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

None has joined through Video conferencing.

Present:

None for the applicant.

Be put up for purpose already fixed on 07.12.2020.

SHIVLI Digital signer SHIVLI TALWAR Data: 2020

(SHIVLI TALWAR)

MM-06(C)/THC/Delhi/26.11.2020

State V/s Aman @ Chhotu FIR No. 202/20 P.S. Sadar Bazar U/S 392/34 IPC

26.11.2020

Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ 2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

Joined through Video conferencing.

The present application for grant of bail U/s 437 Cr.P.C. has been moved on behalf of applicant/accused Aman @ Chhotu s/o Sh. Suresh.

Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex. Present:

Mr. Anil Kumar, Id. Counsel for applicant/accused has joined meeting through Cisco Webex.

applicant/accused that Counsel for by Ld. submitted It is applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that no recovery has been made from or at the instance of the applicant/accused despite the accused being remanded to police custody for one day. It is further submitted that an altercation had taken place between the complainant and the applicant/accused and the complainant got the applicant/accused implicated in the present false case in collusion with the local police. It is submitted that the past antecedents of the applicant/accused are clean and he is not previous convict. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused is in J/C since 25.09.2020 and investigation qua him is already complete and he is no more required for any custodial interrogation. Therefore, it has been prayed that the applicant/accused be released on bail.

Reply of IO has been filed electronically. Copy of same has been sent to Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused electronically. Perusal of the same reveals that the accused had stolen the mobile phone of the complainant alongwith co-accused, Raman @ Sanju and another and he had given the mobile phone to the third coaccused who took it and ran away. The present applicant/accused and co-accused Raman @ Sanju were caught with the help of public and the third co-accused ran away and has still not been traced. The police is trying to search the mobile phone from the call detail records. Accused has been previously involved in many criminal cases.

Charge-sheet has already been filed on record. It is submitted that the accused may commit similar offences again, if released on bail.

Ld. APP for the State has opposed the bail application on the ground that the case property has not yet been recovered and custody of accused is required to trace the third co-accused who ran away with the stolen mobile phone. It is submitted that the applicant/accused has previous involvement in many criminal cases and he may commit similar offences, if released on bail.

Heard. Perused. Considering the submissions made and the circumstances that the applicant/accused is in J/C since 25.09.2020, charge-sheet has already been filed in the present matter and accused is no more required for any custodial interrogation, I am of the considered view that no purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind bars. Hence, accused is admitted to bail subject to furnishing of personal bond in the sum of Rs. 15,000/- with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of Id. Duty MM as per prevailing duty roster, subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That the accused person(s) shall join investigation as and when called.
- 2. That the accused person(s) shall attend the Court as per conditions of bond to be executed.
- 3. That the accused person(s) shall not commit similar offence and;
- 4. That the accused person(s) shall not directly/indirectly induce, give threat, or in any way dissuade the witnesses/persons acquainted with the facts of the case and also shall not tamper with the evidence. Accordingly, the present application is disposed off.

One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of order be also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar Bazar and Ld. Counsel for the applicant. The printout of the application, reply and order Digitally signed by SHIVLI be kept for records and be tagged with the final report.

SHIVLI TALWAR) MM-06(C)作品は/Delhi/26.11.2020

State V/s Akil Khan FIR No. 513/20 P.S. Civil Lines U/s 323/452/506/509/511/34 IPC

26.11.2020

Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ 2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

Joined through Video conferencing.

The present application for grant of bail U/s 437 Cr.P.C. has been moved on behalf of applicant/accused Akil Khan s/o Sh. Aziz Khan.

Present:

Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Jha, ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has joined meeting through Cisco Webex.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused is working as delivery boy in Amazon Company online delivery. It is submitted that on 11.11.2020, the applicant/accused had gone to deliver the parcel to the son of complainant, Hridayesh Pal Singh and asked him to come to the telecom shop on the main road to collect the parcel, otherwise, the parcel will be sent back to the company. Thereafter, the son of the complainant pushed away the applicant/accused and without collecting the parcel made a telephone call to the police. It is stated that entire incident took place outside the house of the complainant, therefore, the offence U/s. 452 IPC is not made out. It is submitted that the eldest son of the complainant beat the applicant/accused with danda. It is further submitted a compromise was arrived at between the complainant and accused persons on 12.11.2020 in the police station and the FIR was registered against the accused as an after thought on 20.11.2020. It is further submitted that the accused has clean antecedents and is not previously involved in any other case. It is further submitted that the father of accused is admitted in hospital and is in a critical situation. Therefore, it has been prayed that the applicant/accused be released on bail.

Reply of IO has been filed electronically. Copy of same has been sent to Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused electronically. Perusal of the same reveals that the accused has not been previously involved in any other case, however, it is stated that the accused may commit similar type of offences again, if released on bail.

Ld. APP for the State has opposed the bail application on the ground that the accused may commit similar offences, if released on bail.

Heard. Perused. Considering the submissions made and the circumstances that past antecedents of the accused are clean and the accused is no more required for any custodial interrogation, I am of the considered view that no purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind bars. Hence, accused is admitted to bail subject to furnishing of personal bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of ld. Duty MM as per prevailing duty roster, subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That the accused person(s) shall join investigation as and when called.
- 2. That the accused person(s) shall attend the Court as per conditions of bond to be executed.
- 3. That the accused person(s) shall not commit similar offence and;
- 4. That the accused person(s) shall not directly/indirectly induce, give threat, or in any way dissuade the witnesses/persons acquainted with the facts of the case and also shall not tamper with the evidence.

Accordingly, the present application is disposed off.

One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of order be also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar Bazar and Ld. Counsel for the applicant. The printout of the application, reply and order be kept for records and be tagged with the final report.

Digitally

signed by SHIVLI TALWAR)
SHIVLI TAI**(SHIVLI TALWAR)**TALWAR Date:
MM-06(C) 11:2020
17:34:41
+0530

State V/s Rizwan FIR No. 513/20 P.S. Civil Lines U/s 323/452/506/509/511/34 IPC

26.11.2020

Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ 2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

Joined through Video conferencing.

The present application for grant of bail U/s 437 Cr.P.C. has been moved on behalf of applicant/accused Rizwan s/o Sh. Ayyub.

Present:

Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Jha, ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has joined meeting through Cisco Webex.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was called by co-accused, Akil Khan on 11.11.2020 for his safety when an altercation took place between co-accused Akil and son of the complainant. It is stated that entire incident took place outside the house of the complainant, therefore, the offence u/s 452 IPC is not made out. It is submitted that the eldest son of the complainant beat the applicant/accused with danda. It is further submitted a compromise was arrived at between the complainant and accused persons on 12.11.2020 in the police station and the FIR was registered against the applicant/accused as an after thought on 20.11.2020. It is further submitted that the accused has clean antecedents and is not previously involved in any other case. It is further submitted that the accused has no role in the present case. Therefore, it has been prayed that the applicant/accused be released on bail.

Reply of IO has been filed electronically. Copy of same has been sent to Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused electronically. Perusal of the same reveals that the accused has not been previously involved in any other case, however, it is stated that the accused may commit similar type of offences again, if released on bail.

Ld. APP for the State has opposed the bail application on the ground that the

Contd:-

accused may commit similar offences, if released on bail.

Heard. Perused. Considering the submissions made and the circumstances that past antecedents of the accused are clean and the accused is no more required for any custodial interrogation, I am of the considered view that no purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind bars. Hence, accused is admitted to bail subject to furnishing of personal bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of ld. Duty MM as per prevailing duty roster, subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That the accused person(s) shall join investigation as and when called.
- 2. That the accused person(s) shall attend the Court as per conditions of bond to be executed.
- 3. That the accused person(s) shall not commit similar offence and;
- 4. That the accused person(s) shall not directly/indirectly induce, give threat, or in any way dissuade the witnesses/persons acquainted with the facts of the case and also shall not tamper with the evidence.

Accordingly, the present application is disposed off.

One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of order be also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar Bazar and Ld. Counsel for the applicant. The printout of the application, reply and order be kept for records and be tagged with the final report.

signed by SHIVLI TALWAR) TALWAR Date: MM-06(C) THC/Delhi/26.11.2020 17:33:57 +0530

State V/s Gaurav @ Nonu FIR No. 222/20 P.S. Sadar Bazar U/S 454/380/411/34 IPC

26.11.2020

Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ 2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

Joined through Video conferencing.

This is the second bail application U/s 437 Cr.P.C. moved on behalf of applicant/accused Gaurav @ Nonu s/o Sh. Raj Kumar.

Present:

Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

Mr. Mohd. Iliyas, ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has joined meeting through Cisco Webex.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that no recovery has been made from or at the instance of the accused and the alleged recovery has been planted by the police. It is further submitted that the past antecedents of the applicant/accused are clean and he is not a previous convict. It is further submitted that the applicant was picked up by the police officials near his house and he was not present at the time of incident and he has been arrested only in pursuance of a disclosure statement. It is further submitted that co-accused Rahul has been granted regular bail in the present FIR by this Court vide order dated 23.11.2020. It is further submitted that the accused is in J/C since 20.10.2020 and investigation qua him is already complete and he is no more required for any custodial interrogation. It is further submitted that the applicant is the sole bread earner of his family. Therefore, it has been prayed that the applicant/accused be released on bail.

Reply of IO has been filed electronically. Copy of same has been sent to Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused electronically. Perusal of the same reveals that the accused was arrested in the present FIR on the basis of disclosure statement of his co-accused, Vicky @ Punjabi and he was remanded to police custody for one day during

Contd:

which case property (one iron rod [gatter]) was recovered from his possession. It is stated that the accused played an active role in the commission of the offence and he is previously involved in criminal cases. It is stated that the allegation against the applicant/accused is specific and grave in nature.

Ld. APP for the State has opposed the bail application on the ground that the case property has been recovered from the possession of the accused. It is submitted that the accused has previous involvement in many criminal cases and he may commit similar offences again, if released on bail.

Heard. Perused. Considering the submissions made and the circumstances that recovery has already been effected, co-accused has already been granted bail and accused is no more required for any custodial interrogation, I am of the considered view that no purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind bars. Hence, accused Gaurav @ Nonu is admitted to bail subject to furnishing of personal bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of ld. Duty MM as per prevailing duty roster, subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That the accused person(s) shall join investigation as and when called.
- 2. That the accused person(s) shall attend the Court as per conditions of bond to be executed.
 - 3. That the accused person(s) shall not commit similar offence and;
 - 4. That the accused person(s) shall not directly/indirectly induce, give threat, or in any way dissuade the witnesses/persons acquainted with the facts of the case and also shall not tamper with the evidence.

Accordingly, the present application is disposed off.

One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of order be also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar Bazar and Ld. Counsel for the applicant. The printout of the application, reply and order be kept for records and be tagged with the final report.

| Digitally signed by SHIVLI TALWAR

TALWAR
FALWAR

Date:
2020,11,26 (SHIVLI TALWAR)
16:37:35

MM-06(C)/THC/Delhi/26.11.2020

State V/s Shaif Khan FIR No. 513/20 P.S. Civil Lines U/s 323/452/506/509/511/34 IPC

26.11.2020

Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ 2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

Joined through Video conferencing.

The present application for grant of bail U/s 437 Cr.P.C. has been moved on behalf of applicant/accused Shaif Khan s/o Sh. Nizam Ahmad.

Present:

Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Jha, ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has joined meeting through Cisco Webex.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was called by co-accused, Akil Khan on 11.11.2020 for his safety when an altercation took place between co-accused Akil and son of the complainant. It is stated that entire incident took place outside the house of the complainant, therefore, the offence u/s 452 IPC is not made out. It is submitted that the eldest son of the complainant beat the applicant/accused with danda. It is further submitted a compromise was arrived at between the complainant and accused persons on 12.11.2020 in the police station and the FIR was registered against the applicant/accused as an after thought on 20.11.2020. It is further submitted that the accused has clean antecedents and is not previously involved in any other case. It is further submitted that the accused has no role in the present case. Therefore, it has been prayed that the applicant/accused be released on bail.

Reply of IO has been filed electronically. Copy of same has been sent to Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused electronically. Perusal of the same reveals that the accused has not been previously involved in any other case, however, it is stated that the accused may commit similar type of offences again, if released on bail.

Ld. APP for the State has opposed the bail application on the ground that the

Contd:-

accused may commit similar offences, if released on bail.

that past antecedents of the accused are clean and the accused is no more required for any keeping the accused behind bars. Hence, accused is admitted to bail subject to furnishing custodial interrogation, I am of the considered view that no purpose would be served by conditions:satisfaction of ld. Duty MM as per prevailing duty roster, subject to the following personal bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- with one surety of like amount, to the Heard. Perused. Considering the submissions made and the circumstances

- That the accused person(s) shall join investigation as and when
- conditions of bond to be executed. <u>i</u>2 That the accused person(s) shall attend the Court as per
- That the accused person(s) shall not commit similar offence and;
- with the facts of the case and also shall not tamper with the evidence. 4. That the accused person(s) shall not directly/indirectly induce, threat, or in any way dissuade the witnesses/persons acquainted

Accordingly, the present application is disposed off.

be kept for records and be tagged with the final report. Bazar and Ld. order be also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of Counsel for the applicant. The printout of the application, reply and order

t. signed by signed by SHIVLI TALWAR Dates (SHIVLI TALWAR)
MM-06(CS)THC/Delhi/26.11.2020

State V/s Salman @ Danish U/s 356/379/411/34 IPC FIR NO. 344/20 P.S. Civil Lines

26.11.2020

Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ 2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

loined through Video conferencing

Present: Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

the meeting through Cisco Webex. Mr. Abhishek Kumar Singh, Ld. LAC for accused has also joined

LAC electronically for releasing the accused on personal bond. The present application has been filed on behalf of accused by Ld.

which he seeks some more time to verify the address of accused. Perused. Reply to the present application has been filed electronically by IO in

accused and file the report on 04.12.2020. Let fresh notice be issued to IO concerned to verify the address of

SHIVLI TALWAR

MM-06(C)/THC/Delhi/26.11.2020 Digitally signed by Silvit TALWAR Pate R Date Pare (SHIVLI TALWAR) Pare (SHIVLI TALWAR)

Pare (O TALWAR)

Pare (O TALWAR)

State V/s Rohan FIR NO. 320/20 P.S. Civil Lines U/s 392/394/34 IPC

26.11.2020

Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ 2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

Joined through Video conferencing.

Present: Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

Mr. Nitin Gupta, Ld. Counsel for accused has also joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

An application seeking supply of copy of charge-sheet alongwith documents has been moved on behalf of applicant/accused electronically by his Ld. Counsel.

Heard. Perused the record. Since the charge-sheet is fixed for consideration and cognizance is yet to be taken, let the present application be put up for date already fixed i.e. on <u>03.12.2020.</u>

Digitally signed by charge-sheet is fixed for consideration and cognizance is yet to be taken, let the present application be put up for date already fixed i.e. on <u>03.12.2020.</u>

SHIVLI TALWAR TALWAR Date: (SHIVLI TALWAR) MM-06(17)/SIMIC/Delhi/26.11.2020 +0530

State V/s Ved Prakash FIR No. 300/20 P.S. Sadar Bazar U/S 186/283/353/509 IPC

26.11.2020

Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ 2020 dated 25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today.

Joined through Video conferencing.

The present application for grant of bail U/s 437 Cr.P.C. has been moved on behalf of applicant/accused Ved Prakash s/o Late Mangal Swaroop.

Present:

Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex.

Mr. Shiv Kumar Gautam, ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has joined meeting through Cisco Webex.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has sought release of accused on bail on the ground that applicant/accused is innocent and falsely implicated in the present case. It is argued that out of all the offences under which the applicant/accused has been booked, only Section 353 IPC is a non-bailable offence and there is no direct or specific allegation with regard to offence u/s 353 IPC appearing against the applicant/accused in the entire FIR. It is argued that the present FIR has been registered to deter the applicant from protecting the interest of fellow shopkeepers as the applicant is the elected President of Market Welfare Association of Pahari Dhiraj Vyapar Sangh. It is argued that the allegations contained in the FIR are totally false and baseless and FIR in question has been registered by the police to cover up their own misdeeds as the local police had allowed certain persons to run their business on public pavement and the shopkeepers were against the said illegal act. It is further argued that the complainant namely, W/SI Renu was already having grudge against the applicant/accused as FIR no. 200/20 u/s 457/380 IPC was registered at the same PS on the complaint of the applicant/accused and she did not take any action whatsoever against the culprits despite the fact that CD showing photos of thieves were also provided to her. It is further argued that since police remand of the applicant/accused has not been obtained, it shows that the applicant/accused is no more required for custodial interrogation. It is further argued that there is nothing to be recovered at the instance of the accused. It is further argued that the applicant/accused has clean antecedents and belongs to a respectable family having deep roots in the society. The

Ld. Counsel has sought release of the applicant/accused on bail on the ground of parity regular bail by the Court of Ld. ASJ, Sh. Vidya Prakash vide order dated 24.11.2020 Therefore, it has been prayed that applicant/accused be released on bail applicant/accused is a senior citizen aged about 58 years main accused i.e., son of the applicant, Sh. Vicky Kumar has been granted and is a patient of high BP

when W/SI Renu was taking the clothes which were blocking the public way in custody, the accused alongwith his son, Vicky told her that he will teach her how police job is done and they will strip her off her uniform and started abusing bail application on the following grounds: and thus, obstructed the police party in discharge of their duties. IO has accused hurled abusive words towards police party and started misbehaving with them Counsel for the applicant/accused electronically. IO, in his reply, has stated that Reply of IO has been filed electronically. Copy of same has been sent to opposed her.

- a) That the accused was actively involved in the commission of offence
- mind the COVID 19 pandemic market by instigating the illegal encroachers that can make the matter worse keeping in b) That the accused, if set free, can deteriorate the ambiance of Sadar Bazar
- indulge in same kind of offence again. That the accused has been previously involved in various offences and

other local police against local police, as a result of which there was breach of law and order situation. It applicant/accused alongwith his son/ co-accused has incited the members of public submitted that there are clear and that whether ingredients of Section 353 IPC are made out or not is a matter of trial. It is applicant/accused has been previously involved in many cases. It is further submitted tamper with the witnesses ground them so as is further argued that the applicant and co-accused has obstructed W/SI Renu and Sangh, he would be in that since the applicant/accused is to prevent them from discharging their official duty. It is further argued that Ld. APP for the State has staff in discharge of their official duty and used criminal force against a position of influence over the market area and thus, may and influence investigation. It is further submitted that the specific allegations against the accused vehemently opposed the bail application on the the President of Pahari Dheeraj Vyapar

stage, and therefore, the bail application may be dismissed Cr.P.C. recorded by Ld. Magistrate. It is further argued that investigation is at an initial the complainant has corroborated the allegations of FIR even in her statement u/s. 164

sought by the investigating agency, it shows that his custodial interrogation is perusal of contents of FIR, it is found that there is general allegation of "Dhakka Mukki" required in this case. All the offences except u/s 353 IPC are bailable in nature. levelled against all the accused persons without specifying as to which of the accused pushed committing the alleged offence her in what manner and what was the extent of force, it any, used while Heard. Perused. Since police remand of the applicant/accused was never Q n not

remand of the applicant/accused has been sought, no recovery needs to be made from keeping the accused behind bars. Hence, applicant/accused Ved Prakash is admitted to bail subject to furnishing of personal bond in the sum of Rs. 15,000/- with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of ld. Duty MM as per prevailing duty roster, subject to the following conditions:applicant/accused and co-accused, Vicky has already been granted regular bail in present FIR, I am of the considered view that no purpose would be served by Considering the submissions made and the circumstances that no police

- called. That the accused person(s) shall join investigation as and when
- conditions of bond to be executed. the accused person(s) shall attend the Court as per
- 3. That the accused person(s) shall not commit similar offence
- with the facts of the case and also shall not tamper with the evidence give threat, or in any way dissuade the witnesses/persons acquainted That the accused person(s) shall not directly/indirectly induce,

Accordingly, the present application is disposed off.

be kept for records and be tagged with the final report. Bazar and Ld. Counsel for the applicant. The printout of the application, reply and order also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of

Dort. SHIVLI TALWAR Date: TALWAR Date: TALWAR Date: TALWAR Date: TALWAR DATE: TALWAR)

MM-06(C)/THC/Delhi/26.11.2020

26.11.2020

25.10.2020, the cases are being taken up through Video Conferencing today. Vide Office Order No. 1146/36956-37126 DJ(HQ)/Covid Lockdown/ Physical Courts Roster/ 2020 dated

Joined through Video conferencing.

applicant/accused Gaurav @ Nonu s/o Sh. Raj Kumar. is the second bail application U/s 437 Cr.P.C. moved on behalf

Present: Ld. APP for State has joined the meeting through Cisco Webex

Mr. Mohd. Iliyas, Id. Counsel for applicant/accused has joined meeting through Cisco Webex

applicant/accused be released on bail. applicant is the sole bread earner of his family. Therefore, it has been prayed that the accused is in J/C since 20.10.2020 and investigation qua him is already complete and he the present FIR by this Court vide order dated 23.11.2020. It is further submitted that the statement. It is further submitted that co-accused Rahul has been granted regular bail in present at the time of incident and he has been arrested only in pursuance of a disclosure that the applicant was picked up by the police officials near his house and he was not of the applicant/accused are clean and he is not a previous convict. It is further submitted recovery has been planted by the police. It is further submitted that the past antecedents innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that no more required for any custodial interrogation. It is further submitted that the recovery has been made is submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that accused from or at the instance of the accused and the alleged

accused, Vicky @ Punjabi and he was remanded to police custody for one day during accused was arrested in the present FIR on the basis of disclosure statement of his co-Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused electronically. Perusal of the same reveals that the Reply of IO has been filed electronically. Copy of same has been sent to

that the accused played an active role in the commission of the offence and he is previously specific and grave in nature involved in criminal cases. It is stated that the allegation against the applicant/accused is which case property (one iron rod [gatter]) was recovered from his possession. It is stated

offences again, if released on bail. property has been recovered from the possession of the accused. It is submitted that the has previous involvement in many criminal cases and he may commit similar Ld. APP for the State has opposed the bail application on the ground that the

roster, subject to the following conditions:with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of ld. Duty MM as per prevailing duty Nonu is admitted to bail subject to furnishing of personal bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind bars. Hence, accused Gaurav @ no more required for any custodial interrogation, I am of the considered view that no recovery has already been effected, co-accused has already been granted bail and accused is Heard. Perused. Considering the submissions made and the circumstances

- 1. That the accused person(s) shall join investigation as and when
- bond to be executed 2. That the accused person(s) shall attend the Court as per conditions of
- 3. That the accused person(s) shall not commit similar offence and;
- 4. That the accused person(s) shall not directly/indirectly induce,

give threat, or in any way dissuade the witnesses/persons acquainted with the facts of the case and also shall not tamper with the evidence

Accordingly, the present application is disposed off.

for records and be tagged with the final report. and Ld. Counsel for the applicant. The printout of the application, reply and order be kept order be also sent to the e-mail of jail superintendent and SHO PS Civil Lines/Sadar Bazar One copy of the order be uploaded on Delhi District Court Website. Copy of

MAR Date: 2020.11.26 (SHIVLI TALWAR) 16.37.35 (SHIVLI TALWAR) MM-06(C)/THC/Delhi/26.11.2020