

FIR No. 346/2020

P.S Mundka

State Vs. Vikram

u/s 392/394/34 IPC

21.08.2020

Present: Ms. Annu Yadav, Ld. APP for the State.

Sh. Yogesh Rathee, counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply filed on behalf of IO concerned. Same is taken on record.

Arguments heard on the bail application of accused.

It is submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused is running in J/C since 06.07.2020. It is further submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused has been falsely implicated in this case by the complainant and has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted by him that investigation has already been completed and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping accused behind bars any further. Hence, it is requested that applicant/ accused be admitted on bail in the interest of justice.

*On the contrary*, Ld. APP for the State submits that allegations against applicant/ accused are serious in nature. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant/ accused may not be admitted on bail.

Without going into the merits of the case as well as in view of emergent conditions prevailing due to outbreak of Novel Corona Virus (Covid-19) and a step towards de-congestion of the jail and to protect health and safety of the accused as well as jail inmates, I deem it appropriate to admit the applicant/ accused on bail on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in like amount




subject to the condition that accused shall stay away from the vicinity of the complainant's house and shall not make any efforts to communicate with the complainant. Accused shall inform about his fresh address to IO prior to 15 days of shifting to any other address / place.

PB/SB not furnished.

Information in this regard be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Application stands disposed off in above terms.

As requested, a copy of the order be given dasti to the IO.



(NEETU NAGAR)  
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,  
THC/Delhi/21.08.2020

FIR No. 315/2020

P.S Kirti Nagar

State Vs. Chandan

u/s 25/54/59 A.Act

21.08.2020

Present: Ms. Annu Yadav, Ld. APP for the State.

Mohd. Ilyas, counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply filed on behalf of IO concerned. Same is taken on record.

Arguments heard on the bail application of accused.

It is submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused is running in J/C since 10.06.2020. It is further submitted by him that accused has been falsely implicated in this case by the complainant and has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted by him that investigation has already been completed and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping accused behind bars any further. Hence, it is requested that applicant/ accused be admitted on bail in the interest of justice.

*On the contrary*, Ld. APP for the State submits that allegations against applicant/ accused are serious in nature. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant/ accused may not be admitted on bail.

A perusal of reply filed on behalf of IO shows that accused is a habitual offender and has previous involvements.

In view of above said submissions and considering the fact that accused is a habitual offender and he has previous involvements, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant/ accused at this stage. Accordingly, bail application stands dismissed. A copy of present order be given dasti to the accused as well as to the IO.

  
(NEETU NAGAR)

D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,  
THC/Delhi/21.08.2020

FIR No. 0301/2020

P.S Ranjit Nagar

State Vs. Asha

u/s 33 (1) Delhi Excise Act

21.08.2020

Present: Ms. Annu Yadav, Ld. APP for the State.

Sh. Ayub Ahmed Qureshi, counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply filed on behalf of IO. Same be taken on record.

Arguments heard on the bail application of accused.

It is submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused is running in J/C since 18.08.2020. It is further submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused has been falsely implicated in this case by the complainant and has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted by him that investigation has already been completed and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping accused behind bars any further. Hence, it is requested that applicant/ accused be admitted on bail in the interest of justice.

*On the contrary*, Ld. APP for the State submits that allegations against applicant/ accused are serious in nature. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant/ accused may not be admitted on bail.

Without going into the merits of the case as well as in view of emergent conditions prevailing due to outbreak of Novel Corona Virus (Covid-19) and a step towards de-congestion of the jail and to protect health and safety of the accused as well as jail inmates, I deem it appropriate to admit the applicant/ accused on bail on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in like amount



subject to the condition that accused shall stay away from the vicinity of the complainant's house and shall not make any efforts to communicate with the complainant. Accused shall inform about his fresh address to IO prior to 15 days of shifting to any other address / place.

PB/SB not furnished.

Information in this regard be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Application stands disposed off in above terms.

As requested, a copy of the order be given dasti to the IO.



(NEETU NAGAR)  
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,  
THC/Delhi/21.08.2020

FIR No. 0319/2020

P.S Kirti Nagar

State Vs. Shankar

u/s 25/54/59 A.Act

21.08.2020

Present: Ms. Annu Yadav, Ld. APP for the State.

Sh. Deepak Kumar, counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply filed on behalf of IO concerned. Same is taken on record.


Arguments heard on the bail application of accused.

It is submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused is running in J/C since 18.06.2020. It is further submitted by him that accused has been falsely implicated in this case by the complainant and has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted by him that investigation has already been completed and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping accused behind bars any further. Hence, it is requested that applicant/ accused be admitted on bail in the interest of justice.

*On the contrary*, Ld. APP for the State submits that allegations against applicant/ accused are serious in nature. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant/ accused may not be admitted on bail.

A perusal of reply filed on behalf of IO shows that accused is a habitual offender and has previous involvements.

In view of above said submissions and considering the fact that accused is a habitual offender and he has previous involvements, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant/ accused at this stage. Accordingly, bail application stands dismissed. A copy of present order be given dasti to the accused as well as to the IO.



(NEETU NAGAR)  
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,  
THC/Delhi/21.08.2020

FIR No. 358/2020

P.S Kirti Nagar

An application moved on behalf of applicant/accused for release of two mobile phone, one purse along with driving license and cash of Rs. 2400/-, credit card, green remid card of SBI and some other documents.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.


Applicant in person.

Reply has already been filed by IO.

Heard on the application.

In view of the averments, let jama talashi articles be released to the applicant/accused as per seizure memo on proof of his identification. Copy of order be given dasti to applicant.

Application stands disposed.

  
(NEETU NAGAR)  
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,  
THC/Delhi/21.08.2020

FIR No. 392/2020

P.S Moti Nagar

State Vs. Sushant

u/s 195A/323/452/506(B)/34 IPC

21.08.2020

Present: Ms. Annu Yadav, Ld. APP for the State.

Sh. Harendra Kumar, counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply filed on behalf of IO. Same be taken on record.

Arguments heard on the bail application of accused.

It is submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused is running in J/C since 17.07.2020. It is further submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused has been falsely implicated in this case by the complainant and has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted by him that investigation has already been completed and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping accused behind bars any further. Hence, it is requested that applicant/ accused be admitted on bail in the interest of justice.

*On the contrary*, Ld. APP for the State submits that allegations against applicant/ accused are serious in nature. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant/ accused may not be admitted on bail.

Without going into the merits of the case as well as in view of emergent conditions prevailing due to outbreak of Novel Corona Virus (Covid-19) and a step towards de-congestion of the jail and to protect health and safety of the accused as well as jail inmates, I deem it appropriate to admit the applicant/ accused on bail on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in like amount





subject to the condition that accused shall stay away from the vicinity of the complainant's house and shall not make any efforts to communicate with the complainant. Accused shall inform about his fresh address to IO prior to 15 days of shifting to any other address / place.

PB/SB not furnished.

Information in this regard be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Application stands disposed off in above terms.

As requested, a copy of the order be given dasti to the IO.

  
(NEETU NAGAR)  
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,  
THC/Delhi/21.08.2020

FIR No. 141/18

P.S EOW (West)

State Vs. Bablu Bharti

u/s 420/406/467/468/471/34/120B IPC

Present: Ms. Annu Yadav, Ld. APP for the State.

Sh. Chirag, counsel for complainant.

Sh. Manish, counsel for applicant/accused.

IO concerned in person.

Reply filed on behalf of IO concerned. Same is taken on record.

It is submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused is running in J/C since 12.08.2020. It is further submitted by him that accused has been falsely implicated in this case by the complainant and has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted by him that investigation has already been completed and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping accused behind bars any further. Hence, it is requested that applicant/ accused be admitted on bail in the interest of justice.

*On the contrary*, Ld. APP for the State submits that allegations against applicant/ accused are serious in nature. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant/ accused may not be admitted on bail.

A perusal of reply filed on behalf of IO shows that the stamp paper is bearing his seal / stamp on which it is showing that his license was going to expire on 31.03.2017. That his anticipatory bail was dismissed on 22.10.2019. That accused has remained non-cooperative during investigation and did not reveal about his stamp paper register. That there is every likelihood that he may tamper with evidence and influence the witnesses. That investigation is still pending and charge sheet against the accused persons is to be filed.



In view of above said submissions and considering considering the gravity of offence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant/ accused at this stage. Accordingly, bail application stands dismissed. A copy of present order be given dasti to the accused as well as to the IO.

(NEETU NAGAR)  
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,  
THC/Delhi/21.08.2020

0702  
11/08/2020  
FIR No. 0402/2020

P.S Mundka

21.08.2020

An application moved on behalf of the applicant for release of vehicle (Tanker) no. **HR69B4716** on superdari.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Counsel for applicant.

Report from concerned IO filed. Same is perused.

Heard. Material perused.

Instead of releasing the vehicle on superdari, I am of the considered view that the vehicle has to be released as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as "**Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujrat, AIR 2003 SC 638**" wherein it has been held that;

*"68. Vehicles involved in an offence may be released to the rightful owner after preparing detailed panchnama; taking photographs of the vehicle, valuation report, and a security bond.*

*69. The photographs of the vehicle should be attested countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over.*

*70. The production of the vehicle should not be insisted upon during the trial. The panchnama and photographs along with the valuation report should suffice for the purpose of evidence.*

*71. Return of vehicles and permission for sale thereof should be the general norm rather than the exception.*

*72. If the vehicle is insured, the court shall issue notice to the owner and the insurance company for disposal of the vehicle. If there is no response or the owner*



*refuses to take the vehicle or informs that it has claimed insurance / released its right in the vehicle to the insurance company and the insurance company fails to take possession of the vehicle, the vehicle may be ordered to be sold in auction.*


*73. If a vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by a third person, it may be ordered to be sold by auction."*

The view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been reiterated by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in case titled "**Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. NO. 4485/2013**" dated **10.09.2014**.

Considering the facts and circumstances and law laid down by higher courts, vehicle (Tanker) in question bearing registration number **HR69B4716** be released to the applicant on furnishing **security bond as per valuation report of the vehicle**. IO is directed to get the valuation done of the vehicle prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy of this order be given Dasti to applicant.

Panchnama shall be filed in the Court along with charge sheet.

  
(NEETU NAGAR)  
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,  
THC/Delhi/21.08.2020

eFIR No. 509/2020

P.S Punjabi Bagh

State Vs. Dharmender

u/s 379 IPC

21.08.2020

Present: Ms. Annu Yadav, Ld. APP for the State.

Sh. Deepak, counsel for applicant, through video conferencing .

Reply filed on behalf of IO. Same be taken on record.

Arguments heard on the bail application of accused.

It is submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused is running in J/C since 07.08.2020. It is further submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused has been falsely implicated in this case by the complainant and has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted by him that investigation has already been completed and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping accused behind bars any further. Hence, it is requested that applicant/ accused be admitted on bail in the interest of justice.

*On the contrary*, Ld. APP for the State submits that allegations against applicant/ accused are serious in nature. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant/ accused may not be admitted on bail.

A perusal of reply filed on behalf of IO shows that he has no objection for granting bail.

Without going into the merits of the case as well as in view of emergent conditions prevailing due to outbreak of Novel Corona Virus (Covid-19) and a step towards de-congestion of the jail and to protect health and safety of the accused as well as jail inmates, I deem it appropriate to admit the applicant/ accused on bail on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with one surety in like amount




subject to the condition that accused shall stay away from the vicinity of the complainant's house and shall not make any efforts to communicate with the complainant. Accused shall inform about his fresh address to IO prior to 15 days of shifting to any other address / place.

PB/SB not furnished.

Information in this regard be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Application stands disposed off in above terms.

As requested, a copy of the order be given dasti to the IO.

  
(NEETU NAGAR)  
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,  
THC/Delhi/21.08.2020

eFIR No. 481/2020

P.S Punjabi Bagh

State Vs. Dharmender

u/s 379 IPC

21.08.2020

Present: Ms. Annu Yadav, Ld. APP for the State.

Sh. Deepak, counsel for applicant, through video conferencing .

Reply filed on behalf of IO. Same be taken on record.

Arguments heard on the bail application of accused.

It is submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused is running in J/C since 07.08.2020. It is further submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused has been falsely implicated in this case by the complainant and has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted by him that investigation has already been completed and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping accused behind bars any further. Hence, it is requested that applicant/ accused be admitted on bail in the interest of justice.

*On the contrary*, Ld. APP for the State submits that allegations against applicant/ accused are serious in nature. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant/ accused may not be admitted on bail.

A perusal of reply filed on behalf of IO shows that he has no objection for granting bail.

Without going into the merits of the case as well as in view of emergent conditions prevailing due to outbreak of Novel Corona Virus (Covid-19) and a step towards de-congestion of the jail and to protect health and safety of the accused as well as jail inmates, I deem it appropriate to admit the applicant/ accused on bail on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with one surety in like amount





subject to the condition that accused shall stay away from the vicinity of the complainant's house and shall not make any efforts to communicate with the complainant. Accused shall inform about his fresh address to IO prior to 15 days of shifting to any other address / place.

PB/SB not furnished.

Information in this regard be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Application stands disposed off in above terms.

As requested, a copy of the order be given dasti to the IO.



(NEETU NAGAR)  
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,  
THC/Delhi/21.08.2020

eFIR No. 650/2020

P.S Punjabi Bagh

State Vs. Dharmender

u/s 392/394/34 IPC

21.08.2020

Present: Ms. Annu Yadav, Ld. APP for the State.

Sh. Deepak, counsel for applicant, through video conferencing .

Reply filed on behalf of IO. Same be taken on record.

Arguments heard on the bail application of accused.

It is submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused is running in J/C since 07.08.2020. It is further submitted by Learned Counsel for the applicant/ accused that accused has been falsely implicated in this case by the complainant and has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted by him that investigation has already been completed and no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping accused behind bars any further. Hence, it is requested that applicant/ accused be admitted on bail in the interest of justice.

*On the contrary*, Ld. APP for the State submits that allegations against applicant/ accused are serious in nature. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant/ accused may not be admitted on bail.

A perusal of reply filed on behalf of IO shows that he has no objection for granting bail.

Without going into the merits of the case as well as in view of emergent conditions prevailing due to outbreak of Novel Corona Virus (Covid-19) and a step towards de-congestion of the jail and to protect health and safety of the accused as well as jail inmates, I deem it appropriate to admit the applicant/ accused on bail on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with one surety in like amount



subject to the condition that accused shall stay away from the vicinity of the complainant's house and shall not make any efforts to communicate with the complainant. Accused shall inform about his fresh address to IO prior to 15 days of shifting to any other address / place.

PB/SB not furnished.

Information in this regard be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

Application stands disposed off in above terms.

As requested, a copy of the order be given dasti to the IO.



(NEETU NAGAR)  
D/MM (Mahila Court)-01, West,  
THC/Delhi/21.08.2020