IN THE COURT OF SH. ARUL VARMA, LD. CHIEF METROPOLITAIN MAGISTRATE, CENTRAL DISTRICT, TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI.

FIR No. 199/2020 PS: DBG Road State Vs. Santosh U/s 356/379/34 IPC Bail application

22.08.2020

Proceedings on this matter has been conducted via Video Conferencing through Cisco Webex.

This is an application u/s 437 Cr.PC received through E-mail as moved on behalf of the applicant/accused Santosh for grant of regular bail.

Present: Sh. Rajiv Kamboj, Ld. APP for the State has been joined via

Video Conferencing through Cisco Webex.

Sh. Mahesh Kumar Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for accused has been

joined via Video Conferencing through Cisco Webex.

Vide this Order, I shall dispose off the Interim Bail application filed on behalf of the accused Santosh.

- Ld Counsel for the accused has contended that accused was arrested on 07.08.2020 and has already spent more than 15 days in judicial custody.
- 2. *Per Contra*, Ld APP for the State has opposed the bail application as per law.
- 3. Before adjudicating upon the bail application, it would be pertinent to make a reference to Suo Motu Petition (Civil) No. 1/2020 dated 23.03.2020 titled *In Re: Contagion of COVID -19*, wherein, in order to decongest prisons, the Hon'ble SC ordained the constitution of High Powered Committees in each State.
- 4. It is further apposite to mention that on 23.03.2020 itself, in case titled *Shobha Gupta &Ors. Vs. Union Of India &Ors W.P.(C) No.2945 of 2020* decided on 23.03.2020 by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, it was decided that Prisoners can be released on "interim bail", The criteria adopted were:-

- a Under trial prisoner is the first time offender;
- b Under trial prisoner has been arrested or is facing trial for offence punishable upto 07 years;
- c Case is triable by Magistrate and
- d Under trial prisoner is in custody for last 3 months or more;
- e Under Trial Prisoner undergoing Civil imprisonment
- 5. The High Powered Committee (HPC), in terms of the mandate of the Hon'ble SC, issued a slew of directions, contained in Minutes Of Meetings held on various dates.
- 6. Vide Minutes of Meeting dated <u>07.04.2020</u>, the HPC resolved that interim bail ought to be granted for 45 days, preferably on Personal Bond, to inmates fulfilling the following criteria:
 - a Under trial prisoners (UTPs), who are senior citizens more than 60 years of age and are in custody for six months or more, facing trial in a case which prescribes a maximum sentence of 10 years or less;
 - b Under trial prisoners (UTPs), who are **less than 60 years** of age and are in custody for **one year or more**, facing trial in a case which prescribes a maximum sentence of **10 years or less**;
 - c Under trial prisoners (UTPs)/Remand Prisoners (with respect to whom, Charge sheets are yet to be filed), who are in custody for 15 days or more, facing trial in a case which prescribes a maximum sentence of 7 years or less;
- 7. Vide the above minutes, it was also decided that the following categories of inmates, even if falling in the above criteria, should not be considered:
 - a All inmates who are undergoing trial for intermediary/ large quantity recovery under NDPS Act;
 - b Those under trial prisoners who are facing trial under Section 4 & 6 of POCSO Act;
 - c Those under trial prisoners who are facing trial for offences under section 376, 376A, 376B, 376C, 376D and 376E and Acid

Attack;

- d Those UTPs who are foreign nationals; and
- e Those under trial prisoners who are facing trial under Prevention of Corruption Act (PCAct) / PMLA; and
- f Cases investigated by CBI/ED/NIA/Special Cell Police and Terror related Cases, cases under Anti-National Activities and Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act etc.
- 8. Vide Minutes of Meeting dated <u>18.05.2020</u>, the HPC resolved that interim bail ought to be granted for 45 days, preferably on Personal Bond, to inmates fulfilling the following criteria
 - a Under trial prisoners (UTPs) facing trial for a case under Section
 302 IPC and are in jail for more than two years with no involvement in any other case;
 - b Under trial prisoners (UTPs) facing trial for offence under Section
 304 IPC and are in jail for more than one year with no involvement in any other case;
 - c Under trial prisoners (UTPs) facing trial in a case under Section 307 or 308 IPC and are in jail for more than six months with no involvement in any other case;
 - d Under trial prisoners (UTPs) facing trial/remand prisoners in Theft
 cases and are in jail for more than 15 days;
 - e Male Under trial prisoners (above 65 years of age) facing trial in a case except the ones excluded hereunder and are in jail for more than six months with no involvement in any other case;
 - f Female Under trial prisoners (above 60 years of age) facing trial in a case except the ones excluded hereunder and are in jail for more than six months with no involvement in any other case;
- 9. It has been submitted by Ld Counsel for the accused that the accused was arrested on 07.08.2020, and has spent more than 15 days in custody, and is squarely covered by the criteria laid down by the High Powered

Committee vide its minutes of meeting mentioned above.

10. Since the accused fulfils the criteria laid down above, he is hereby released on bail on personal bond for the sum of Rs. 5000/- to the satisfaction of the

Jail Superintendent.

11. This order shall be treated as a Release Warrant.Ahlmad is directed to

make requisite entry in the Bail Register maintained by him in compliance

of directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Ajay Verma Vs

Govt. of NCT of Delhi W.P 10689/17 dated 08.02.2018.

Copy of this order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent for necessary action

via official email ID and through ICJS. The order be also uploaded on the District

Courts website forthwith.

ARUL VARMA Digitally signed by ARUL VARMA

Date: 2020.08.22 15:12:21 +05'30' (Arul Varma) CMM (Central), Delhi 22.08.2020

IN THE COURT OF SH. ARUL VARMA, LD. CHIEF METROPOLITAIN MAGISTRATE, CENTRAL DISTRICT, TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI.

FIR No. 200/2020 PS: DBG Road State Vs. Sumit U/s 379/411/34 IPC Bail application

22.08.2020

Proceedings on this matter has been conducted via Video Conferencing through Cisco Webex.

This is an application u/s 437 Cr.PC received through E-mail as moved on behalf of the applicant/accused Sumit for grant of regular bail.

Present: Sh. Rajiv Kamboj, Ld. APP for the State has been joined via

Video Conferencing through Cisco Webex.

Sh. Faraz Khan, Ld. Counsel for accused has been joined via Video

Conferencing through Cisco Webex.

Vide this Order, I shall dispose off the Interim Bail application filed on behalf of the accused Sumit.

- Ld Counsel for the accused has contended that accused was arrested on 06.08.2020 and has already spent more than 15 days in judicial custody.
- 2. *Per Contra*, Ld APP for the State has opposed the bail application as per law.
- 3. Before adjudicating upon the bail application, it would be pertinent to make a reference to Suo Motu Petition (Civil) No. 1/2020 dated 23.03.2020 titled *In Re: Contagion of COVID -19*, wherein, in order to decongest prisons, the Hon'ble SC ordained the constitution of High Powered Committees in each State.
- 4. It is further apposite to mention that on 23.03.2020 itself, in case titled *Shobha Gupta &Ors. Vs. Union Of India &Ors W.P.(C) No.2945 of 2020* decided on 23.03.2020 by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, it was decided that Prisoners can be released on "interim bail", The criteria adopted were:-

- a Under trial prisoner is the first time offender;
- b Under trial prisoner has been arrested or is facing trial for offence punishable upto 07 years;
- c Case is triable by Magistrate and
- d Under trial prisoner is in custody for last 3 months or more;
- e Under Trial Prisoner undergoing Civil imprisonment
- 5. The High Powered Committee (HPC), in terms of the mandate of the Hon'ble SC, issued a slew of directions, contained in Minutes Of Meetings held on various dates.
- 6. Vide Minutes of Meeting dated <u>07.04.2020</u>, the HPC resolved that interim bail ought to be granted for 45 days, preferably on Personal Bond, to inmates fulfilling the following criteria:
 - a Under trial prisoners (UTPs), who are senior citizens more than 60 years of age and are in custody for six months or more, facing trial in a case which prescribes a maximum sentence of 10 years or less;
 - b Under trial prisoners (UTPs), who are **less than 60 years** of age and are in custody for **one year or more**, facing trial in a case which prescribes a maximum sentence of **10 years or less**;
 - c Under trial prisoners (UTPs)/Remand Prisoners (with respect to whom, Charge sheets are yet to be filed), who are in custody for 15 days or more, facing trial in a case which prescribes a maximum sentence of 7 years or less;
- 7. Vide the above minutes, it was also decided that the following categories of inmates, even if falling in the above criteria, should not be considered:
 - a All inmates who are undergoing trial for intermediary/ large quantity recovery under NDPS Act;
 - b Those under trial prisoners who are facing trial under Section 4 & 6 of POCSO Act;
 - c Those under trial prisoners who are facing trial for offences under section 376, 376A, 376B, 376C, 376D and 376E and Acid

Attack;

- d Those UTPs who are foreign nationals; and
- e Those under trial prisoners who are facing trial under Prevention of Corruption Act (PCAct) / PMLA; and
- f Cases investigated by CBI/ED/NIA/Special Cell Police and Terror related Cases, cases under Anti-National Activities and Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act etc.
- 8. Vide Minutes of Meeting dated <u>18.05.2020</u>, the HPC resolved that interim bail ought to be granted for 45 days, preferably on Personal Bond, to inmates fulfilling the following criteria
 - a Under trial prisoners (UTPs) facing trial for a case under Section 302 IPC and are in jail for more than two years with no involvement in any other case;
 - b Under trial prisoners (UTPs) facing trial for offence under Section
 304 IPC and are in jail for more than one year with no involvement in any other case;
 - c Under trial prisoners (UTPs) facing trial in a case under Section 307 or 308 IPC and are in jail for more than six months with no involvement in any other case;
 - d Under trial prisoners (UTPs) facing trial/remand prisoners in Theft
 cases and are in jail for more than 15 days;
 - e Male Under trial prisoners (above 65 years of age) facing trial in a case except the ones excluded hereunder and are in jail for more than six months with no involvement in any other case;
 - f Female Under trial prisoners (above 60 years of age) facing trial in a case except the ones excluded hereunder and are in jail for more than six months with no involvement in any other case;
- 9. It has been submitted by Ld Counsel for the accused that the accused was arrested on 06.08.2020, and has spent more than 15 days in custody, and is squarely covered by the criteria laid down by the High Powered

Committee vide its minutes of meeting mentioned above.

10. Since the accused fulfils the criteria laid down above, he is hereby released on bail on personal bond for the sum of Rs. 5000/- to the satisfaction of the

Jail Superintendent.

11. This order shall be treated as a Release Warrant. Ahlmad is directed to

make requisite entry in the Bail Register maintained by him in compliance

of directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Ajay Verma Vs

Govt. of NCT of Delhi W.P 10689/17 dated 08.02.2018.

Copy of this order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent for necessary action

via official email ID. The order be also uploaded on the District Courts website

forthwith.

ARUL VARMA

Date: 2020.08.22 15:12:54 +05'30'

Digitally signed by ARUL CMM (Central), Delhi 22.08.2020

IN THE COURT OF SH. ARUL VARMA, LD. CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE, CENTRAL DISTRICT, TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI.

FIR No. 0194/2020

PS: DBG Road

State Vs. Ashwin Singh

Application

22.08.2020

<u>Proceedings of this matter has been conducted via Video Conferencing through Cisco Webex.</u>

This is an application received through email moved on behalf of applicant Kuldeep Singh for release of vehicle bearing registration number DL-5CJ-9919 (car) on superdari.

Present: Ld. APP for the State via Video Conferencing through Cisco Webex.

Sh. Suresh Prasad, Ld. Counsel for applicant is present via Video Conferencing through Cisco Webex.

Reply of IO has been received through email.

Heard. Perused.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that he has moved the present application on behalf of applicant Kuldeep Singh. Applicant is the registered owner of the vehicle in question. Copy of RC of the vehicle has been retained on record. As per reply of IO, police has no objection in release of the vehicle.

The application is therefore allowed. The vehicle bearing registration number DL-5CJ-9919 be released to the rightful claimant/owner after verification of his identity. The Panchnama and photographs of the vehicle shall be filed in the Court with the charge-sheet.

Concerned SHO is directed to prepare a detailed panchnama of the above stated vehicle and take photographs of the same in terms of directions given in the Judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi titled as "Manjeet Singh Vs. State". Panchnama proceedings shall be conducted at the concerned Police Station. The panchnama and the photographs shall be signed by the applicant, accused, and the IO and the concerned SHO shall secure the presence of the aforesaid persons.

Copy of this order be given dasti to the applicant. Copy of this order be sent to SHO, PS DBG Road, for compliance through email.

Accordingly, application stands disposed of.

Copy of this order be uploaded on official website of Delhi District Court.

ARUL Digitally signed by ARUL VARMA

Date: 2020.08.22
15:13:38 +05'30'

(Arul Varma) CMM (Central), Delhi