FIR No.193/2020 PS: Lahori Gate

U/S: 33/47 Delhi Excise Act State Vs. Aatish

31.07.2020 At 12:55 PM

Present: Sh. Manoj Garg, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Rajesh Juneja, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused

Aatish.

IO ASI Vinay Mohan (No. D-644/N, PS Lahori Gate) is present.

The matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing by means of Webex Meet.

The present bail application has been taken up in pursuance to Order No. 15778-15808/Bail Power/Gaz./2020 dated 15/07/2020 issued by Ld. District & Sessions Judge (HQs), Delhi.

Report sent in by the IO perused. Submissions heard.

Be put up at 4 pm for orders.

A copy of this order be uploaded on the official website of Delhi District Courts.

FIR No.193/2020

**PS: Lahori Gate** 

U/S: 33/47 Delhi Excise Act State Vs. Aatish

31.07.2020

At 4:10 PM

ORDER ON THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT OF BAIL U/S 439 CrPC MOVED ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT/ ACCUSED AATISH

Present:

None.

The matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing by

means of Webex Meet.

The present bail application has been taken up in pursuance to

Order No. 15778-15808/Bail Power/Gaz./2020 dated 15/07/2020 issued

by Ld. District & Sessions Judge (HQs), Delhi.

The present case pertains to recovery of illicit liquor from the

possession of applicant/ accused Aatish on 06.07.2020.

Recovery has already been effected. The applicant/ accused is

no longer required for the purposes of investigation. Trial is most likely to

get prolonged on account of unabated spread of COVID-19 pandemic.

No purpose would be served by detaining the applicant/ accused in

custody. There is no report as to conviction of accused in any similar

matter.

In these circumstances, the applicant/ accused Aatish is admitted

to bail on furnishing a bail bond in a sum of Rs. 10,000/- with one surety

in the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned Jail

Superintendent, subject to following conditions:-Contd/--

--2-- FIR No.193/2020 PS : Lahori Gate

a) the applicant/ accused shall not influence the witnesses;

b) the applicant/ accused shall not leave Delhi without

permission of the concerned Court;

c) the applicant/ accused shall also provide his as well as his

surety's mobile number to the IO/ SHO immediately upon his

release and shall mark his attendance in police station through

audio or video mode on every Monday between 10 a.m. to 6 p.m.

The bail application stands disposed of accordingly.

A copy of this order be sent/ transmitted to the concerned Jail

Superintendent for information and compliance. File be consigned to

record room, as per rules.

A copy of this order be uploaded on the official website of Delhi

District Courts.

FIR No.251/19

PS : Prasad Nagar U/S : 201/304 IPC &

Sec. 23/25/27 DMC Act State Vs. Amrit Kundra

@ Sonu

31.07.2020 At 12:30 PM

## ORDER ON 2<sup>nd</sup> BAIL APPLICATION U/S 439 CrPC FOR GRANT OF REGULAR BAIL MOVED ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT/ ACCUSED AMRIT KUNDRA @ SONU

Present: Sh. Manoj Garg, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Uma Shankar Gautam, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/

accused Amrit Kundra @ Sonu.

IO SI Bhawani Singh (No. D-5462, PS Prasad Nagar) is

present.

The matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing by means of Webex Meet.

The present bail application has been taken up in pursuance to

Order No. 15778-15808/Bail Power/Gaz./2020 dated 15/07/2020 issued

by Ld. District & Sessions Judge (HQs), Delhi.

Report sent by IO perused.

Brief facts, as per the present FIR, are that the complainant's seven years old son fell ill on intervening night of 02.12.2019 – 03.12.2019. On 03.12.2019, the complainant took his son to New Delhi Advanced Diagnostic Centre, where the applicant/ accused administered 4/5 injections and two glucose bottles to his son. After sometime, the son of the complainant lost his consciousness. The complainant could not feel heart-beat and pulse of his son. The child was then removed to

R.M.L. Hospital by the complainant and the applicant / accused. The Doctors of R.M.L. Hospital declared the child to have been 'brought dead'. Hearing the same, the applicant/ accused fled away from the spot. The dead body was subjected to postmortem. The report of postmortem was issued with the observations that the opinion regarding the cause of death shall be given after receiving the reports of Histopathological analysis, Viscera chemical analysis and Serum Tryptase Level. The complainant then got the present FIR registered against the applicant/ accused on 26.02.2019, while narrating the above facts (except the postmortem report). The police started investigation in the FIR and the applicant/ accused was arrested on 02.03.2020. The applicant/ accused disclosed that he does not have any medical degree or authority to practice medicine. Since then, the applicant/ accused is languishing in judicial custody for commission of offences punishable U/s 304/201 IPC & 23/25/27 Delhi Medical Council Act. Charge-sheet has already been filed. However, the cause of death is yet to be ascertained and brought on record as two FSL reports are still awaited.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused submits applicant/accused is languishing in custody since 03-03-2020 for the commission of an offence punishable inter-alia u/s 304 IPC, but till date the cause of death of deceased child is still unknown. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused submits applicant/accused is detained despite in-availability of relevant

evidence. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused adds that the case of the prosecution as to the allegations of administration of medicine by applicant /accused is totally false & fabricated, but argues that even if it is supposed that the applicant/ accused did administer medicine to the child at the relevant time, still the allegations against the applicant/ accused would be covered under Part-II of Section 304 IPC under which the prescribed punishment is a lot lesser than maximum punishment prescribed under Part-1 of Section 304 IPC. He has further submits that COVID-19 pandemic is spreading very fast and due to which regular functioning of the Court is likely to remain suspended for an indefinite period. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused has further submitted that the trial is definitely going to be delayed. Accordingly, it has been prayed that the applicant/ accused Amrit Kundra @ Sonu may be granted bail.

Upon query by this Court, IO has submitted that 02 FSL reports are still to be received and which would then be placed before the Medical Board for consideration, where-after the opinion of the Medical Board as to cause of death would be placed before the Court in the form of a supplementary charge-sheet. This Court has further queried as to how long would it take to furnish the said supplementary charge-sheet containing the medical opinion as to the cause of death. No reply has come forward from the side of the prosecution.

It seems that till date, the prosecution does not have any medical

evidence to support its allegations against the applicant/ accused, particularly those punishable U/s 304 IPC. This court has queried as to for how long this court should permit the detention of the applicant/accused for the allegations punishable u/s 304 IPC in the absence of any relevant evidence. Moreso, when rest of the allegations (i.e. S201 IPC, Section 27 DMC Act), to substantiate which police has already collected evidence, are punishable with imprisonment upto 03 years only. Now, Ld. APP for the state has submitted that in view of the likely delay in the case due to lack of medical opinion as to cause of death, he has no objection if the applicant/ accused is released on interim bail for a short period of time till the medical reports are prepared/ issued.

In the entire facts and circumstances and in view of the above submissions made by the Ld. APP for the state as well as the fact that the applicant/ accused is languishing in judicial custody since 02.03.2020 without any trial, which last predicament is more likely to get prolonged due to COVID-19 pandemic, the applicant/ accused Amrit Kundra @ Sonu is admitted to interim bail till **31.08.2020** on furnishing a bail bond in a sum of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Jail Superintendent concerned. However, it is directed that the applicant/ accused shall join the investigation as and when so directed by the IO, shall not abscond, shall not try to influence the

witnesses and shall not repeat the offence in question under any circumstances failing which this interim bail shall be cancelled.

None of the above observations shall cast any shadow on the merits of the case. Be put up again on **31.08.2020.** A copy of this order be sent/ transmitted to the Jail Superintendent concerned for necessary information and compliance.

A copy of this order be uploaded on the official website of Delhi District Courts.

FIR No. 561/19 PS Lahori Gate U/s 406/420 IPC State Vs. Tarun Kumar, Pankaj

31.07.2020

At 03.25 P.M.

Present: Sh. Manoj Garg, Ld. Addl PP for the State.

Sh. Deepak Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the

applicant/accused Tarun Kumar.

IO SI G.N.Tiwari ( No.C-4272) from the PS

Lahori Gate is present.

Proceedings conducted through Video

conferencing by means of Webex Meet.

The present bail application has been taken up in pursuance to order no. 15778-15808/Bail Power/Gaz./2020 dated 15/07/2020 issued by Ld. District & Sessions Judge (HQs) Delhi.

Oral submissions have been heard.

Put up for orders on **04/08/2020**.

FIR No. 377/18
PS Prasad Nagar
U/s 406/420/468/471/12B IPC
Dhirender Kumar Yadav @ Tinku Yadav Vs State

31.07.2020

At 12:20: PM

Present: Sh. Manoj Garg, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Karnail Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused

Dhirender Kumar Yadav @ Tinku.

The matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing by

means of Webex Meet.

The present bail application has been taken up in pursuance to

Order No. 15778-15808/Bail Power/Gaz./2020 dated 15/07/2020

issued by Ld. District & Sessions Judge (HQs), Delhi.

The applicant/ accused was admitted to interim bail vide order dated

18.01.2020, which was extended on different occasions vide different orders.

The interim bail granted to the applicant/ accused was lastly extended vide

order dated 08.07.2020 till today i.e. 31.07.2020.

In view of the directions and observations of the Hon'ble High Court of

Delhi in the order dated 13.07.2020 vide W.P.(C) No.3037/2020 titled as

COURT ON ITS OWN MOTION Vs. STATE & ORS., the interim bail granted to

the applicant/ accused is extended till **31.08.2020**.

Be put up again on **31.08.2020**.

A copy of this order be uploaded on the official website of Delhi District Courts

FIR No. 30/20 PS Rajinder Nagar U/s 120B/387/506 IPC State Vs. Sushil Kumar @ Silu

31.07.2020 At 12:45 PM

Fresh bail application u/s 439 Cr.PC filed. It be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Manoj Garg, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. M.P. Sinha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused Sushil

Kumar @ Sillu.

SI Mahipal (No. D-4768, PS Rajinder Nagar) on behalf of main

IO is present.

The matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing by means of Webex Meet.

The present bail application has been taken up in pursuance to Order No. 15778-15808/Bail Power/Gaz./2020 dated 15/07/2020 issued by Ld. District & Sessions Judge (HQs), Delhi.

Report has been sent in by the IO.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused submits that since charge-sheet has already been filed he wants to refer the contents of the charge-sheet before addressing the arguments on the present bail application. IO submits that the charge-sheet is currently pending before Ld. MM concerned.

In the facts and circumstances, it is directed that e-challan/ charge-sheet filed in the court of Ld. MM concerned be transmitted to the undersigned on or before next date of hearing. A copy of the same be also transmitted to the Ld. APP for the state.

Be put up again on **05.08.2020.** 

A copy of this order be uploaded on the official website of Delhi District Courts.

FIR No. 34/20 PS Prasad Nagar U/s 376 IPC State Vs. Sagar @ Hemant

31.07.2020 At 01:05 PM

Present: Sh. Manoj Garg, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Sher Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused Sagar

@ Hemant.

IO SI Pinki (No. 3215/D, PS Prasad Nagar) is present.

The matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing by means of Webex Meet.

The present bail application has been taken up in pursuance to Order No. 15778-15808/Bail Power/Gaz./2020 dated 15/07/2020 issued by Ld. District & Sessions Judge (HQs), Delhi.

It is submitted by the IO that the charge-sheet has already been filed in the court of Ld. MM concerned.

The applicant/ accused is enjoying the interim protection since 11.02.2020. In the facts & circumstances, the interim orders shall continue till **31.08.2020**.

Matter be taken up on the said date i.e. 31.08.2020.

A copy of this order be uploaded on the official website of Delhi District Courts.

FIR No. 182/2019 PS Lahori Gate U/s 409 IPC (1) State Vs Naresh Singhal

(2) State Vs Ratan Navetia

31.07.2020 At 12:10 PM

Present: Sh. Manoj Garg, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Ravin Rao, Ld. Counsel for the complainant Ratan

Navetia.

Sh. Pankaj Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused

Ratan Navetia.

IO SI Sandeep Singh (No. D-5103, PS Lahori Gate) is present.

The matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing by means of Webex Meet.

The present bail application has been taken up in pursuance to Order No. 15778-15808/Bail Power/Gaz./2020 dated 15/07/2020 issued by Ld. District & Sessions Judge (HQs), Delhi.

At the very outset, Ld. Counsel for the complainant submits that the matter has been mutually settled and all the payments have been received from the applicant/ accused by the complainant in terms of the settlement agreement arrived at between the parties before the Mediation Cell. Now, he wants to withdraw the application moved on 23.07.2020 seeking cancellation of interim bail granted to the applicant/ accused Naresh Singhal on 03.12.2019. As such, the relevant application moved by the complainant stands dismissed as withdrawn.

Contd/--

FIR No. 182/2019 PS Lahori Gate U/s 409 IPC (1)State Vs Naresh Singhal

## (2) State Vs Ratan Navetia

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused Naresh Singhal submits that the parties shall proceed for quashing of the present FIR.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, the order dated 03.12.2019 is made absolute on the same terms and conditions mentioned therein. Bail Application also stands disposed of accordingly.

Both Files be consigned to record room, as per rules.

A copy of this order be uploaded on the official website of Delhi District Courts.

FIR No. 46/20 PS IP Estate U/s 376 IPC State Vs. Nadeem

31.07.2020

At 3.10 P.M.

Present: Sh. Manoj Garg, Ld. Addl PP for the State.

Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld.Counsel for the

applicant/accused Nadeem.

SI Narender Beniwal on behalf of IO from PS IP

Estate is present.

Sh. Sanjay Bansal, Ld. Counsel for the complainant alongwith complainant/prosecutrix

"S"

Proceedings conducted through Video conferencing by means of Webex Meet.

The present bail application has been taken up in pursuance to order no. 15778-15808/Bail Power/Gaz./2020 dated 15/07/2020 issued by Ld. District & Sessions Judge (HQs) Delhi.

Report has been sent in by the SHO concerned.

Oral submissions have been heard.

Ld. Counsel for the Complainant/prosecutrix submits that the Complainant/prosecutrix is the sister in law (saali) of the applicant/accused and she has no objection to the grant of bail to the applicant/accused.

--2-- FIR No. 46/20

**PS IP Estate** 

Ld. Add. PP for the State has vehemently opposed

the grant of bail to the applicant/accused on the ground that

allegations are serious in nature and prosecutrix has supported

the case of the prosecution in her statement recorded u/s 164

Cr.PC.

Let prosecutrix appear in person and clarify the

submission made on her behalf as to no-objection to grant of bail

to applicant/accused on the NDOH.

Put up again on 10/08/2020.

**MACT No. 618-18** 

31.07.2020

At 03.30 P.M.

Present: Sh Trilok Chand, Ld.Counsel for the claimant.

Sh. M.Awasthi Ld. Counsel for the insurance

company.

Proceedings conducted through Video

conferencing by means of Webex Meet.

It is submitted jointly by Ld. Counsel for the parties that matter has amicably been settled between the parties for a sum of Rs. Nineteen Lakhs Fifty Thousand Only.

At joint request, put up for recording of statements of parties before the Lok Adalat scheduled for **08/08/2020**.

Parties to appear accordingly.

MACT No. 340-19

31.07.2020

At 03.35 P.M.

Present: Sh. V.K.Saini, Ld.Counsel for the claimant.

Sh. Ankur Jaitely, Ld. Counsel for the insurance

company.

Proceedings conducted through Video

conferencing by means of Webex Meet.

It is submitted jointly by Ld. Counsel for the parties that matter has amicably been settled between the parties for a sum of Rs. Twenty One Lakh Seventeen Thousand Five Hundred Only.

At joint request, put up for recording of statements of parties before the Lok Adalat scheduled for **08/08/2020**.

Parties to appear accordingly.

MACT No. 56537-16

**Civil Appeal No. 2494/2020** 

M. Ex No. 173/20

**Dinesh Prasad & Others Vs Rajpal & Ors** 

31.07.2020

At 01.35 P.M.

Present: Sh. S.K.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the United

**India Insurance Company Ltd.** 

Proceedings conducted through Video conferencing by

means of Webex Meet.

An application moved on behalf of the United India

Insurance Company Ltd for deposit of the cheques of the enhanced award

amount in terms of the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated

03/06/2020.

Heard. Record Perused.

As per the report of the Nazir of this Court, the cheques of

enhanced award amount on behalf of the New India Assurance Company

Ltd have not been filed as yet.

Nazir of this Court is directed to deposit the aforementioned

cheques in the SBI, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi as and when the same is filed.

Put up on **04/09/2020**.

MACT No. 815/2017

31.07.2020

Present: Sh. G.S.Ahuja, Ld. Counsel for the insurance company.

File is taken up today on an application seeking permission to deposit the cheque with permission to revalidate the aforementioned cheque as same has turned stale.

Heard. Perused.

Nazir of this Court is directed to hand over the aforementioned stale cheque to Ld. Counsel for the insurance company and Insurance Company is directed to submit the fresh revalidated cheque within 15 days in the UCO Bank Ghanta Ghar, Subzi Mandi, Delhi in account of the petitioner in terms of the Lok Adalat Award dated 16/02/2020.

Put up for report on **04/09/2020**.