FIR No.45/11 PS Mayapuri State Vs. Santosh Kumar

#### 25.07.2020 (PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED THROUGH CISCO WEBEX MEETING ID 919211305)

Present: None for the State despite the fact that Ld. APP for the

State has been intimated through Whatsapp.

Accused ithrough VC

Ld. Counsel Sh. S. K. Joshi.

Voice from the side of Ld. Counsel for the accused is not audible despite waiting for 20 minutes. Under these circumstances, the matter could not be heard on the point of sentence.

Put up for arguments on the point of sentence on 31.07.2020.

CC No. 10467/16

Gurinder Pal Verma Vs. Lexus India Ltd.

PS Nihal Vihar

25.07.2020

(PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED THROUGH CISCO WEBEX MEETING NO. 919211305)

12:10 p.m.

Present: Complainant Sh. Gurinder Pal Verma in person through VC Sh. Praveen Suri, Ld. Counsel for the complainant through VC.

The complainant has prayed for withdrawal of the present complaint case. Separate statement of the complainant has been dictated to this effect. Let copy thereof be supplied to the complainant through Whatsapp on complainant's mobile phone. complainant is requested to to take a print out of the same, sign it and then send a scanned copy thereof to Ahlmad Ravi khatri on his mobile phone through Whatsapp by 3 p.m. today.

PANK Digitally signed by PANKAJ ARORA ARORA Date:

AROR 2020.07.25
A 16:52:14 25.07.2020

At 3:00 p.m.

Present: None

Scanned signed copy of the statement of the complainant received. This court is satisfied that the complainant has made the statement voluntarily.

In view of the statement of the complainant, the present complaint case is hereby dismissed as withdrawn.

File be consigned to the Record Room after due compliance.

PANKAJ Digitally signed by PANKAJ ARORA

ARORA
Date: 2020.07.25
16:51:40 +05'30'

(Pankaj Arora)

MM-03(West)/THC/Delhi

CC No. 10467/16 Gurinder Pal Verma Vs. Lexus India Ltd. PS Nihal Vihar 25.07.2020

#### (PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED THROUGH CISCO WEBEX MEETING NO. 919211305)

Statement of the complainant Sh. Gurinder Pal Verma, M/s Laxmi Priners
Office at RA-A-204, Nihal Vihar, Delhi.
ON SA.

I am the complainant in the present case. I have received part payment towards the goods sold by me to the respondent. I do not wish to pursue the present complaint case. I may kindly be permitted to withdraw the present case as settled with the accused. I am making the statement without any fear or coercion or undue influence.

RO & AC.

PANKAJ Digitally signed by PANKAJ ARORA

ARORA
Date: 2020.07.25
16:51:07 +05'30'

OKA 16:51:07 +05'30' (Pankaj Arora) MM-03(West)/THC/Delhi

FIR No.388/19

U/s 454/380/411 IPC

**PS Nihal Vihar** 

State Vs Hemant @ Ginni

25.07.2020 ( PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED THROUGH CISCO WEBEX MEETING ID 919211305)

Present: Ld. APP for

Ld. APP for the State.

Heard on the application for cancellation of bail granted to the

accused Hemant @ Ginni.

Admittedly, vide order dated 25.10.2019, bail has been granted to the accused by Ld. Sessions Court. Under these circumstances, this court cannot cancel the bail already granted to the accused by Ld. Sessions Court while exercising powers under Section 437(5) of Cr.PC. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

Copy of this order be supplied to Ld. APP for the State through Whatsapp.



(Pankaj Arora)

MM-03(West)/THC/Delhi

FIR No.382/19 U/s 454/380/411/34 IPC PS Nihal Vihar State Vs Hemant @ Ginni

# 25.07.2020 ( PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED THROUGH CISCO WEBEX MEETING ID 919211305)

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Heard on the application for cancellation of bail granted to the

accused Hemant @ Ginni.

It is submitted that accused has misused the liberty of bail granted to him by committing another criminal offence.

However, it is observed that the present whereabouts of the accused as well as bail status of the accused in subsequent FIR No. 717/20 are not mentioned in the application. Under these circumstances, since notice of the present application cannot be served to the accused/non-applicant for want of particulars and therefore, bail granted to the accused cannot be canceled without giving opportunity of hearing to the accused/non-applicant. Accordingly, the present application for cancellation of bail stands dismissed.

Nevertheless, Ld. APP for the State is at liberty to move fresh application with complete particulars.

Copy of this order be supplied to Ld. APP for the State through Whatsapp.

PANKA Digitally signed

by PANKAJ ARORA Date: 2020.07.25 ARORA 16:31:48 +05'30'

FIR No.413/18 U/s 352/34 IPC PS Nihal Vihar State Vs Pawan @ Ankush

## 25.07.2020 ( PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED THROUGH CISCO WEBEX MEETING ID 919211305)

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Heard on the application for cancellation of bail granted to the

accused Pawan @ Ankush

It is submitted that accused has misused the liberty of bail granted to him by committing another criminal offence.

However, it is observed that the present whereabouts of the accused as well as bail status of the accused in subsequent FIR No. 755/20 are not mentioned in the application. Under these circumstances, since notice of the present application cannot be served to the accused/non-applicant for want of particulars and therefore, bail granted to the accused cannot be canceled without giving opportunity of hearing to the accused/non-applicant. Accordingly, the present application for cancellation of bail stands dismissed.

Nevertheless, Ld. APP for the State is at liberty to move fresh application with complete particulars.

Copy of this order be supplied to Ld. APP for the State through Whatsapp.

PANKAJ Digitally signed by PANKAJ ARORA

Date: 2020.07.25
16:32:13 +05'30'

FIR No.748/19 U/s 380/411/34 IPC PS Nihal Vihar

State Vs Pawan @ Ankush

# 25.07.2020 (PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED THROUGH CISCO WEBEX MEETING ID 919211305)

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Heard on the application for cancellation of bail granted to the

accused Pawan @ Ankush

It is submitted that accused has misused the liberty of bail granted to him by committing another criminal offence.

However, it is observed that the present whereabouts of the accused as well as bail status of the accused in subsequent FIR No. 755/20 are not mentioned in the application. Under these circumstances, since notice of the present application cannot be served to the accused/non-applicant for want of particulars and therefore, bail granted to the accused cannot be canceled without giving opportunity of hearing to the accused/non-applicant. Accordingly, the present application for cancellation of bail stands dismissed.

Nevertheless, Ld. APP for the State is at liberty to move fresh application with complete particulars.

Copy of this order be supplied to Ld. APP for the State through Whatsapp.

PANKAJ by PANKAJ ARORA Pate: 2020.07.25 16:32:38 +05'30'

FIR No.288/15 U/s 379 IPC PS Nihal Vihar State Vs Pawan @ Ankush

## 25.07.2020 (PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED THROUGH CISCO WEBEX MEETING ID 919211305)

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Heard on the application for cancellation of bail granted to the

accused Pawan @ Ankush

It is submitted that accused has misused the liberty of bail granted to him by committing another criminal offence.

However, it is observed that the present whereabouts of the accused as well as bail status of the accused in subsequent FIR No. 755/20 are not mentioned in the application. Under these circumstances, since notice of the present application cannot be served to the accused/non-applicant for want of particulars and therefore, bail granted to the accused cannot be canceled without giving opportunity of hearing to the accused/non-applicant. Accordingly, the present application for cancellation of bail stands dismissed.

Nevertheless, Ld. APP for the State is at liberty to move fresh application with complete particulars.

Copy of this order be supplied to Ld. APP for the State through Whatsapp.

PANKAJ ARORA Digitally signed by PANKAJ ARORA Date: 2020.07.25 16:33:10 +05'30'

FIR No. 109/20

State Vs. Amandeep Singh

U/s 307/34 of IPC

**PS Nihal Vihar** 

25.07.2020

(PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED THROUGH CISCO WEBEX MEETING NO. 919211305)

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Heard on the application for cancellation of bail application of accused Amandeep Singh.

Admittedly, vide order dated 21.03.2020, bail has been granted to the accused by Ld. Sessions Court. Under these circumstances, this court cannot cancel the bail already granted to the accused by Ld. Sessions Court while exercising powers under Section 437(5) of Cr.PC. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

Copy of this order be supplied to Ld. APP for the State through Whatsapp.

PANKAJ Digitally signed by PANKAJ

ARORA
Date: 2020.07.25 (Pankaj Arora)
16:33:58 +05'30'

MM-03(West)/THC/Delhi

FIR No. 1/20 State Vs. Rohit @ Montu U/s 25/54/59 Arms Act PS Maya Puri 25.07.2020

(PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED THROUGH CISCO WEBEX MEETING NO. 919211305)

Present: Ld. APP for the State.

Heard on the application for cancellation of bail granted to the accused Rohit @ Montu in case FIR No. 1/20. It is submitted that accused has misused the liberty of bail granted to him by committing another criminal offence.

However, it is observed that the present whereabouts of the accused as well as bail status of the accused in subsequent FIR No. 105/20 are not mentioned. Under these circumstances, since notice of the present application cannot be served to the accused/non-applicant for want of particulars and therefore, bail granted to the accused cannot be canceled without giving opportunity of hearing to the accused/non-applicant. Accordingly, the present application for cancellation of bail stands dismissed.

Nevertheless,Ld. APP for the State is at liberty to move fresh application with complete particulars.

Copy of this order be supplied to Ld. APP for the State through Whatsapp.

PANKAJ Digitally signed by PANKAJ ARORA

(Pankaj Arora) MM-03(West)/THC/Delhi 25.07.2020

Date: 2020.07.25 16:35:08 +05'30'

ARORA /