



## DELHI STATE LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY

Consistent under der Luget Service Austronia Aut (1997) an Act of Performers, Under the Administrative Control of High Court of Delbi Central Office, 3rd Floor, Rouse Avenue District Court Complex, Pt. Deen Dayal Upsafbynyn Marg, New Delbi-110002 Email | https://central-picks/delbi.gov/n. webelte: synans/data.ozg.



Ref. No.: 029/DSLSA/LAW/Judl.Dir/2022 78/94 - 9.59

Dated: 21st January, 2023

Ref.: Criminal Appeal No. 198/2020 titled "Babu Lal vs. State"

Sub.: Guidelines in relation to the implementation of Section 439(IA) Cr.P.C. and the Practice Directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi

## Sir/Madam,

Pursuant to the directions of Horble High Court of Dolhi in the matter referred above, model as the guidelines, so approved by Horble High Court of Dolhi vide its order dated 11.01.2023, in relation to implementation of Section 49(1A) Cr.P.C., so as to supplement the Practice Directions issued by Horble High Court of Dolhi, is being circulated to all the stateholders for their kind prousal and implementation in its true letter, with and interest.

These directions/guidelines shall come into force with immediate effect

Harshet

Secretary (Litigation), DSLSA

## Copy forward for information and necessary action to:

- The Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge (HQ), Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi with request to circulate the same amongst all the judicial officers posted under their kind control.
- The Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge PC (Act)(CBI), Rouse Avenue Courts, Delhi with request to circulate the same amongst all the judicial officers posted under their kind control.

Haralla

- The Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, West District, Tis Hezzri Courts, Delhi with request to circulate the same amongst all the judicial officers posted under their kind control.
- The Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, East District, Kardardooma Courts, Delhi with request to circulate the same amongst all the judicial officers posted under their kind control.
- The Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North East District, Kardardooma Courts, Delhi with request to circulate the same amongst all the judicial officers posted under their kind control.
- The Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, Shahdara District, Kardsrdoome Courts, Delhi with request to circulate the same amongst all the judicial officers posted under their kind control.
- The Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North District, Rohini Courts, New Delhi with request to circulate the same amongst all the judicial officers posted under their kind control.
- The Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North West District, Rohini Courts, New Delhi with request to circulate the same amongst all the judicial officers posted under their kind control.
- The Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, New Delhi District, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi with request to circulate the same amongst all the judicial officers posted under their kind control.
- 10. The Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, South West District, Dwarka Courts, New Delhl with request to circulate the same amongst all the judicial officers posted under their kind control.
- 11. The Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, South District, Saket Courts, New Delhi with request to circulate the same amongst all the judicial officers posted under their kind control.
- The Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, South East District, Seket Courts, New Delhi with request to circulate the same amongst all the judicial officers posted under their kind control.
- The Ld. Principal Judge, Family Courts (HQ), Dwarka Courts Complex, Dwarka, New Delhi.

Hareleta

- The Ld. Principal Secretary (Law, Justice & LA), GNCT of Delhi, Delhi Secretariat,
   P. Estate, New Delhi
- The Commissioner of Police, Police Head Quarters, New Delhi with request to forward the same to all the DCPs/ACPs/SHOs, Delhi/New Delhi.
- The Secretary, Bar Council of India, 21, Rouse Avenue, Institutional Area, New Delhi – 110002.
- The Secretary, Bar Council of Delhi, 2/6, Sri Fort Institutional Area, Khel Gaon Marg, New Delhi – 110049.
- 18. The President/Secretary, Supreme Court Bar Association, Supreme Court, New Delhi.
- The President/Secretary, Delhi High Court Bar Association, Delhi High Court, New Delhi
- 20. The President/Secretary, Bar Association, Tis Hazari Courts, New Delhi.
- 21. The President/Secretary, Bar Association, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi.
- 22. The President/Secretary, Bar Association, Karkardooma Courts, New Delhi.
  - 23. The President/Secretary, Bar Association, Robini Courts, New Delhi,
  - 24. The President/Secretary, Bar Association, Dwarks Courts, New Delhi.
  - The President/Secretary, Bar Association, Saket Courts, New Delhi.
  - 26. The Secretary, Supreme Court Legal Services Committee. New Delhi.
- 27. The Secretary, Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee, Delhi High Court, New
- 28. All the Secretaries, District Legal Services Authority, Delhi/New Delhi,

Delhi.

- 5. The Chairman, District Court Website Committee, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi for uploading the same on the website of Delhi District Court.
  - 30. Mr. Adit S. Pujari, Advocate, on the panel of Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee, F-17. LGF-04, Hauz Khas Enclave, New Delhi - 110016
  - Librarian, Judges Library, Tis Hazari Courts/Rohini Courts/Karkardooma
     Courts/Dwarka Courts/Saket Courts/Patiala House Courts, Delhi/New Delhi.

(Harshita Mishra)
Secretary (Litigation), DSLSA

\$~6 \*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.A. 198/2020 BARILLAL

STATE

..... Appellant Through: Mr Adit S Puiari, Adv. (DHCLS)

Mr Adit S Pujari, Adv. (DHCLSC) and Ms Aparaijta Sinha, Advs.

versus

Through: Ms

..... Respondent Ms Manjeet Arya, APP for State SI Aiav, PS-Neb Sarai.

SI Ajay, PS-Neb Sarai.
Ms Astha, Adv. for prosecutrix.
(through VC)
Ms. Harshita Mishra, Secretary
(Litigation), DSLSA (through VC)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH O R D E R

% 11.01.2023

- During the course of hearing of this appeal, it was pointed out by Mr
   Pujuri, learned coursed appearing for the appeallant as well as Ms Mistra, Secretary (Lifigation), DSLSA that many of the victims in POCSO cases were being asked to appear physically or virtually in Court at the time of hearing ball applications. This has led to a situation where the victims were being forced not only to potentially interact with the accused person but also be present in Court when arguments regarding the offence were taken up for hearing.
  - The psychological impact on a POCSO victim being present in Court during the arguments is grave as there are allegations, accusations, doubting

the integrity, character, etc. of the prosecutivi, her family, etc. The presence of the prosecutivit victim in Court at the time of arguments, according to me, has an adverse impact on the psyche of the prosecutivit. The prosecutivit is forced to be present in Court with the accused, who is the same person who has allegadly violated her. It was felt that it would be in the interest of the victim that abe is not traumatized again and again by re-living the said incident by being present in Court proceedings.

- 3. In this view of the matter, the DHCLSC, DSLSA as well as Mr Pipair were directed to give suggestive practice directions. The suggestive practice directions have been flowarded to this Court and I am in agreement that the said directions, if implemented in its two letter, spirit and intent, may help in reducing the trauma of a POCSO view. In this view of the matter and in addition to the practice directions issued earlier, it is further directed that during bail hearings of a POCSO case, the following guidelines shall also be adhered to:
- "i. The IO shall ensure that timely service of notice of bail application is made on the victim/ prosecutrix, so that she gets reasonable amount of time to enter appearance and make her submissions.
- ii. The livestigating Officer while serving noticotrummons of the batt application to the vicitien prosecutive shall make relevant inquiries about the vicitin and her circumstances and shall document the same in order to astite the court in the hearing of the batt application and to facilitate effective representation and participation to behalf of the vicitim. The 10 shadle ensure that while making such enquiries the victim is not made to feel unconflortable or questioned like on accomplice to a crime. Necessary sensitivity ought to be dulphyied by the 10 while making three enquiries.



- iii. The victim can be produced virtually before the Court (either by the ION Support person before the Court (by way of Video Conferencing) or by taking axistance of the District Legal Services Authority. Hybrid form of hearing of bail applications would suitably address the concerns of the victim while at the same time sufequenting the rights of the accused. Victim and the accused shall not come face to face in this manner and this can prevent the re-resumantization of the victim.
- is, if the victin gives it is writing that her counsell parentiguardian' support person shall appear on her behalf and make submissions on the bail application, instatence on physical or virtual presence of the prosecutive shouldn't be made. A written authorization of the victim authorizing another to make submissions on her behalf (after victim is duly indentified by the IO) and said authorization is forwarded by the SHO, should suffice.
- v. If the victim has appeared in court on one date of hearing of a bail application, her presence on subsequent dates can be dispensed with and RCC lowyerf counself parent/gardian! support person representing the victim in court can be permitted to make submissions on behalf of the victim. On the day of the first appearance of the victim/grosecurit, her submissions qua the bail application can be recorded by the Court and the anne maybe used for the purpose of adjudicating on the bail application. The victim's opinion and objections regarding bail application to the first interaction can be mentioned in the order passed on the day of interaction between the Ld. Judge and the victim and this order can then be relied on at the stage of final disposal of bail applications.
  - vi. In certain exceptional cases, in- chamber interaction with the victim can be done and her submissions qua the bail application can be



recorded in the order sheet passed on that day, so that the same maybe considered at a later stage.

- vii. While recording the submissional objectionst statement of the victim qua the bail application, appropriate questions may be put to the victim to elicit her responses instant of bhostly asking her "Do you want bail to be given to the accusad or not?" Rather questions can be put to her to ascertain what the apprehensions and finers are in case the accused it granted bail in the matter, for bail is to be granted by the Court concerned on the basis of overall appreciation of facts and circumstances of the case and in the light of youl stretal principles governing the great of bail.
- viti. Whenever the victim comes to court for a hearing on the bail application, the support person provided to her should be present with her so as to provide the necessary psychological or logistical support to the victim/prosecutrix.
- it. It may further be clarified that victim's presence may not be insisted on in cases under POCSO Act, where the accused is a child in conflict with low, because the considerations for grant of ball to the child in conflict with low are not dependant on the approbensions of the presentiris. Socion 11 of the howells hattace (over and Prosection) Act, 2013 delinears apparate parameters for considering grant of ball to children in conflict with law and giving an audience to the prosecutrix will not have any bearing on the same.
- x. Further, after the bail application is disposed off, the copy of the order should be mandatorily sent to the victim. This becomes important since the victim's main concern is her safety in case the accused is enlarged

on bail. By providing her a copy of the bail order the victim is made aware about the status of the accused and the conditions of the bail and her right to approach the court for cancellation of bail in case of breach of conditions of hail

xi. It would further be in the fitness of things that the Judicial Officers are sensitized about the need to reduce interface of victim with the accused in court to the minimum possible and to permit victim to be represented brough an authorised person in court at the time of heuring of bad application, instead of institute for appearance of the victim in person (either virtually/pixclassift), Judicial Officers may be sensitized to the extent that Practice Directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi on 24.09.2019 and judicial directions in "Reena has v. Union of India" and "Miss 'G' (Minor) through her Marker v. State of NOT of Delhi 'more 'Miss 'G' (Minor) through the Marker v. State of NOT of Delhi 'more issued to ensure that victim doesn't remain unrepresented or unheard when the question of granting ball to the accused to being considered. However, it wasn't meant to invariably call for presence of victim on all datus of hearing in ball application so that the process itself becomes a punishment for the victim by exposing her to the accused his counsel frequently and respenting her emotional and psychological wounds."

- The Secretary (Litigation), DSLSA who is present in Court shall circulate the order to all necessary parties and stake holders.
- List the appeal in due course.

JASMEET SINGH, J

JANUARY 11, 2023

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

